

## Funding Allocation of Estimated Competitive Housing Tax Credit Ceiling

2012 Estimated HTC Ceiling	\$ 55,320,234
At-Risk Set-Aside	\$ 8,298,035
<i>Less: At-Risk Forward Commitments</i>	<i>\$(944,918)</i>
Remaining for At-Risk	\$ 7,353,117
2012 HTC Ceiling After At-Risk	\$ 47,022,199
<i>Less: Regional Forward Commitments</i>	<i>\$(9,571,260)</i>
Remaining to Regionally Allocate	\$ 37,450,939

### Regional Allocation of Funds After At-Risk and Forward Commitments

Region	Place for Geographical Reference	Regional Funding Amount	Regional Funding %	Rural Funding Amount	Rural Funding %	Urban Funding Amount	Urban Funding %
1	Lubbock	\$1,736,795	4.6%	\$703,397	40.5%	\$1,033,399	59.5%
2	Abilene	\$1,000,000	2.7%	\$500,000	50.0%	\$500,000	50.0%
3	Dallas/Fort Worth	\$6,368,694	17.0%	\$766,479	12.0%	\$5,602,215	88.0%
4	Tyler	\$1,773,416	4.7%	\$1,137,439	64.1%	\$635,977	35.9%
5	Beaumont	\$1,000,000	2.7%	\$500,000	50.0%	\$500,000	50.0%
6	Houston	\$10,136,388	27.1%	\$624,072	6.2%	\$9,512,316	93.8%
7	Austin/Round Rock	\$3,584,518	9.6%	\$500,000	13.9%	\$3,084,518	86.1%
8	Waco	\$2,757,781	7.4%	\$500,000	18.1%	\$2,257,781	81.9%
9	San Antonio	\$1,000,000	2.7%	\$500,000	50.0%	\$500,000	50.0%
10	Corpus Christi	\$1,752,078	4.7%	\$500,000	28.5%	\$1,252,078	71.5%
11	Brownsville/Harlingen	\$3,595,225	9.6%	\$621,404	17.3%	\$2,973,821	82.7%
12	San Angelo	\$1,000,000	2.7%	\$500,000	50.0%	\$500,000	50.0%
13	El Paso	\$1,746,046	4.7%	\$500,000	28.6%	\$1,246,046	71.4%
Total		\$37,450,939	100.0%	\$7,852,791	21.0%	\$29,598,148	79.0%

**2012 Housing Tax Credit Regional Allocation Formula**

**Table 2. Regional Measures of Affordable Housing Need**

Total RAF Funding: \$ 47,022,199

Region	Poverty			Rental Cost Burden			Overcrowding			Substandard Housing			Combined Need Measures	
	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount		
	# of Persons	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Region's Need Based %
	50%		\$ 23,511,100	36%		\$ 16,927,992	12%		\$ 5,642,664	2%		\$ 940,444		
1	122,991	4%	\$ 927,523	27,496	4%	\$ 634,354	6,298	2%	\$ 117,880	1,113	3%	\$ 30,469	\$ 1,710,226	3.6%
2	77,647	2%	\$ 585,566	14,191	2%	\$ 327,391	2,421	1%	\$ 45,314	639	2%	\$ 17,501	\$ 975,772	2.1%
3	588,688	19%	\$ 4,439,525	212,768	29%	\$ 4,908,774	86,915	29%	\$ 1,626,817	7,801	23%	\$ 213,487	\$ 11,188,603	23.8%
4	152,036	5%	\$ 1,146,562	25,194	3%	\$ 581,262	5,656	2%	\$ 105,867	1,455	4%	\$ 39,831	\$ 1,873,522	4.0%
5	120,585	4%	\$ 909,378	19,124	3%	\$ 441,210	4,370	1%	\$ 81,801	1,034	3%	\$ 28,308	\$ 1,460,698	3.1%
6	656,239	21%	\$ 4,948,953	169,389	23%	\$ 3,907,977	93,266	31%	\$ 1,745,692	7,629	22%	\$ 208,792	\$ 10,811,414	23.0%
7	145,060	5%	\$ 1,093,954	78,416	11%	\$ 1,809,138	19,295	6%	\$ 361,156	2,726	8%	\$ 74,609	\$ 3,338,856	7.1%
8	149,480	5%	\$ 1,127,287	41,760	6%	\$ 963,447	8,654	3%	\$ 161,983	1,298	4%	\$ 35,523	\$ 2,288,240	4.9%
9	267,118	9%	\$ 2,014,441	62,387	9%	\$ 1,439,326	22,325	7%	\$ 417,866	2,539	7%	\$ 69,484	\$ 3,941,117	8.4%
10	132,214	4%	\$ 997,077	20,206	3%	\$ 466,171	7,006	2%	\$ 131,137	1,026	3%	\$ 28,083	\$ 1,622,468	3.5%
11	455,366	15%	\$ 3,434,092	28,172	4%	\$ 649,959	29,660	10%	\$ 555,153	4,984	15%	\$ 136,409	\$ 4,775,613	10.2%
12	85,063	3%	\$ 641,493	12,650	2%	\$ 291,851	3,385	1%	\$ 63,364	738	2%	\$ 20,195	\$ 1,016,903	2.2%
13	165,122	5%	\$ 1,245,249	21,981	3%	\$ 507,131	12,215	4%	\$ 228,635	1,380	4%	\$ 37,753	\$ 2,018,768	4.3%
Ttl.	3,117,609	100%	\$ 23,511,100	733,735	100%	\$ 16,927,992	301,467	100%	\$ 5,642,664	34,364	100%	\$ 940,444	\$ 47,022,199	100%

**2012 Housing Tax Credit Regional Allocation Formula**

**Table 3. Regional Distribution of Available Housing Resources**

Region	HOME	HOPWA	PHA Capital	S8	USDA MF	USDA RA	HTC	MF BOND	HTC Bond	HTF	Total Resources	Region's Resource %
1	\$ 904,733	\$ -	\$ 2,159,632	\$ 15,602,918	\$ -	\$ 253,334	\$ 18,387,425	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 37,308,042	3%
2	\$ 2,011,500	\$ -	\$ 4,758,851	\$ 8,380,830	\$ -	\$ 297,354	\$ 15,830,885	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 31,279,420	3%
3	\$ 1,188,402	\$ 2,587,718	\$ 11,697,607	\$ 198,359,536	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 670,343	\$ 106,301,629	\$ 7,840,994	\$ -	\$ 75,000	\$ 330,221,229	26%
4	\$ 4,352,226	\$ -	\$ 3,652,691	\$ 14,709,411	\$ -	\$ 466,645	\$ 21,661,150	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 44,842,123	4%
5	\$ 1,544,053	\$ -	\$ 3,875,927	\$ 32,299,968	\$ -	\$ 271,167	\$ 26,863,165	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 64,854,280	5%
6	\$ 1,990,295	\$ 5,017,561	\$ 7,766,303	\$ 126,157,039	\$ -	\$ 465,270	\$ 76,512,737	\$ 13,320,665	\$ -	\$ 125,000	\$ 231,354,870	19%
7	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 861,467	\$ 4,532,831	\$ 58,552,591	\$ -	\$ 169,717	\$ 14,168,973	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 125,000	\$ 80,210,579	6%
8	\$ 202,603	\$ -	\$ 5,010,303	\$ 24,595,236	\$ -	\$ 447,033	\$ 17,680,579	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,773	\$ 47,947,527	4%
9	\$ 5,047,299	\$ 610,326	\$ 10,354,560	\$ 105,444,631	\$ -	\$ 136,160	\$ 18,983,006	\$ 17,665,842	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 158,241,823	13%
10	\$ 855,000	\$ -	\$ 5,529,085	\$ 18,867,967	\$ -	\$ 204,752	\$ 9,367,407	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 34,824,211	3%
11	\$ 1,360,388	\$ -	\$ 9,550,236	\$ 57,890,084	\$ 1,078,139	\$ 447,119	\$ 16,512,118	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 18,000	\$ 86,856,084	7.0%
12	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,437,613	\$ 10,115,280	\$ -	\$ 107,459	\$ 23,784,054	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 35,444,406	3%
13	\$ 100,000	\$ -	\$ 9,242,822	\$ 32,641,170	\$ -	\$ 55,024	\$ 21,393,526	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 63,432,542	5%
Total	\$ 21,356,499	\$ 9,077,072	\$ 79,568,461	\$ 703,616,661	\$ 2,578,139	\$ 3,991,378	\$ 387,446,654	\$ 38,827,500	\$ -	\$ 354,773	\$ 1,246,817,137	100%
% of Total Resources	1.7%	0.7%	6.4%	56.4%	0.2%	0.3%	31.1%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	100%	

% of Resources not Regionally Allocated: 100.0%

**2012 Housing Tax Credit Regional Allocation Formula**

Region	Region's Resource %	Region's Need Based %	Resource Difference	Over Allocated Resource Differences	Over Allocation %	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment	Under Allocated Resource Differences	Under Allocation %	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment
1	3.0%	3.6%	-0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	-	1,710,226	-	-	-0.6%	-5.9%	\$ 303,199	\$ 303,199
2	2.5%	2.1%	0.4%	0.4%	3.9%	(203,894)	975,772	(975,772)	(203,894)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -	\$ -
3	26.5%	23.8%	2.7%	2.7%	24.5%	(1,265,291)	11,188,603	(11,188,603)	(1,265,291)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -	\$ -
4	3.6%	4.0%	-0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	-	1,873,522	-	-	-0.4%	-3.5%	\$ 182,356	\$ 182,356
5	5.2%	3.1%	2.1%	2.1%	19.0%	(985,203)	1,460,698	(1,460,698)	(985,203)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -	\$ -
6	18.6%	23.0%	-4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	-	10,811,414	-	-	-4.4%	-40.3%	\$ 2,086,145	\$ 2,086,145
7	6.4%	7.1%	-0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	-	3,338,856	-	-	-0.7%	-6.1%	\$ 313,812	\$ 313,812
8	3.8%	4.9%	-1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	2,288,240	-	-	-1.0%	-9.3%	\$ 479,957	\$ 479,957
9	12.7%	8.4%	4.3%	4.3%	39.2%	(2,026,782)	3,941,117	(3,941,117)	(2,026,782)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -	\$ -
10	2.8%	3.5%	-0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	-	1,622,468	-	-	-0.7%	-6.0%	\$ 309,115	\$ 309,115
11	7.0%	10.2%	-3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	-	4,775,613	-	-	-3.2%	-29.0%	\$ 1,499,941	\$ 1,499,941
12	2.8%	2.2%	0.7%	0.7%	6.2%	(319,840)	1,016,903	(1,016,903)	(319,840)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -	\$ -
13	5.1%	4.3%	0.8%	0.8%	7.2%	(373,514)	2,018,768	(2,018,768)	(373,514)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -	\$ -
Ttl.	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	11.0%	100.0%	(5,174,524)	47,022,199	(20,601,860)	(5,174,524)	-11.0%	-100.0%	\$ 5,174,524	\$ 5,174,524

State Resource Difference: 11.0%  
 Total RAF Funding: 47,022,199  
 State Over Allocated Resource Amount: (5,174,524)  
 Regional Resource Funding Adjustment Limit: 100.0%

State Under Allocated Resource Amount



**2012 Housing Tax Credit Regional Allocation Formula**

**Table 5. Regional Funding Amounts**

Region	Place for Geographic Reference	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Region's Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Funding Amount	Region's Funding Percentage
1	Lubbock	\$ 1,710,226	\$ 303,199	\$ 2,013,425	4.3%
2	Abilene	\$ 975,772	\$ (203,894)	\$ 771,878	1.6%
3	Dallas/Fort Worth	\$ 11,188,603	\$ (1,265,291)	\$ 9,923,312	21.1%
4	Tyler	\$ 1,873,522	\$ 182,356	\$ 2,055,878	4.4%
5	Beaumont	\$ 1,460,698	\$ (985,203)	\$ 475,494	1.0%
6	Houston	\$ 10,811,414	\$ 2,086,145	\$ 12,897,558	27.4%
7	Austin/Round Rock	\$ 3,338,856	\$ 313,812	\$ 3,652,668	7.8%
8	Waco	\$ 2,288,240	\$ 479,957	\$ 2,768,198	5.9%
9	San Antonio	\$ 3,941,117	\$ (2,026,782)	\$ 1,914,335	4.1%
10	Corpus Christi	\$ 1,622,468	\$ 309,115	\$ 1,931,583	4.1%
11	Brownsville/Harlingen	\$ 4,775,613	\$ 1,499,941	\$ 6,275,553	13.3%
12	San Angelo	\$ 1,016,903	\$ (319,840)	\$ 697,063	1.5%
13	El Paso	\$ 2,018,768	\$ (373,514)	\$ 1,645,254	3.5%
Ttl.		\$ 47,022,199	\$ -	\$ 47,022,199	100%

**2012Housing Tax Credit Regional Allocation Formula**

**Table 6. Measuring Affordable Housing Need in Rural and Urban/Exurban Places**

**Poverty Measure**

Measure Weight: 50%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Persons	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Persons	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 2,013,425	\$ 1,006,713	49,785	41%	\$ 408,869	72,795	59%	\$ 597,843
2	\$ 771,878	\$ 385,939	37,625	53%	\$ 203,534	33,719	47%	\$ 182,405
3	\$ 9,923,312	\$ 4,961,656	72,886	9%	\$ 452,354	726,566	91%	\$ 4,509,302
4	\$ 2,055,878	\$ 1,027,939	61,119	60%	\$ 618,555	40,451	40%	\$ 409,384
5	\$ 475,494	\$ 237,747	49,572	56%	\$ 132,861	39,134	44%	\$ 104,886
6	\$ 12,897,558	\$ 6,448,779	58,763	8%	\$ 531,982	653,573	92%	\$ 5,916,798
7	\$ 3,652,668	\$ 1,826,334	22,730	12%	\$ 220,850	165,237	88%	\$ 1,605,484
8	\$ 2,768,198	\$ 1,384,099	35,131	22%	\$ 299,570	127,184	78%	\$ 1,084,528
9	\$ 1,914,335	\$ 957,168	31,061	11%	\$ 104,212	254,228	89%	\$ 852,955
10	\$ 1,931,583	\$ 965,791	49,255	43%	\$ 413,218	65,866	57%	\$ 552,573
11	\$ 6,275,553	\$ 3,137,777	152,797	33%	\$ 1,042,881	306,932	67%	\$ 2,094,895
12	\$ 697,063	\$ 348,531	23,944	34%	\$ 118,256	46,625	66%	\$ 230,275
13	\$ 1,645,254	\$ 822,627	17,796	9%	\$ 77,561	170,951	91%	\$ 745,066
Ttl.	\$ 47,022,199	\$ 23,511,100	662,464	20%	\$ 4,624,705	2,703,261	80%	\$ 18,886,394

**Cost Burden Measure**

Measure Weight: 36%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 2,013,425	\$ 724,833	6,301	24%	\$ 172,132	20,231	76%	\$ 552,701
2	\$ 771,878	\$ 277,876	5,053	38%	\$ 104,360	8,402	62%	\$ 173,517
3	\$ 9,923,312	\$ 3,572,392	13,474	7%	\$ 232,834	193,261	93%	\$ 3,339,558
4	\$ 2,055,878	\$ 740,116	10,979	52%	\$ 384,790	10,138	48%	\$ 355,326
5	\$ 475,494	\$ 171,178	9,102	54%	\$ 92,662	7,712	46%	\$ 78,516
6	\$ 12,897,558	\$ 4,643,121	10,373	7%	\$ 310,389	144,794	93%	\$ 4,332,732
7	\$ 3,652,668	\$ 1,314,960	4,083	6%	\$ 75,239	67,282	94%	\$ 1,239,721
8	\$ 2,768,198	\$ 996,551	4,883	12%	\$ 119,908	35,700	88%	\$ 876,643
9	\$ 1,914,335	\$ 689,161	5,912	10%	\$ 69,870	52,398	90%	\$ 619,290
10	\$ 1,931,583	\$ 695,370	5,704	29%	\$ 204,376	13,703	71%	\$ 490,994
11	\$ 6,275,553	\$ 2,259,199	6,527	25%	\$ 554,583	20,062	75%	\$ 1,704,616
12	\$ 697,063	\$ 250,943	2,488	21%	\$ 51,616	9,608	79%	\$ 199,327
13	\$ 1,645,254	\$ 592,292	991	5%	\$ 27,691	20,197	95%	\$ 564,601
Ttl.	\$ 47,022,199	\$ 16,927,992	85,869	12%	\$ 2,400,449	603,489	88%	\$ 14,527,542

**2012 Housing Tax Credit Regional Allocation Formula**

**Over Crowding Measure**

Measure Weight: 12%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 2,013,425	\$ 241,611	2,202	39%	\$ 93,773	3,472	61%	\$ 147,838
2	\$ 771,878	\$ 92,625	876	41%	\$ 37,640	1,280	59%	\$ 54,985
3	\$ 9,923,312	\$ 1,190,797	3,489	4%	\$ 48,780	81,675	96%	\$ 1,142,018
4	\$ 2,055,878	\$ 246,705	2,252	53%	\$ 130,686	1,999	47%	\$ 116,019
5	\$ 475,494	\$ 57,059	1,808	49%	\$ 28,114	1,862	51%	\$ 28,946
6	\$ 12,897,558	\$ 1,547,707	3,614	4%	\$ 63,636	84,286	96%	\$ 1,484,071
7	\$ 3,652,668	\$ 438,320	1,233	7%	\$ 31,273	16,043	93%	\$ 407,047
8	\$ 2,768,198	\$ 332,184	1,407	18%	\$ 58,839	6,538	82%	\$ 273,345
9	\$ 1,914,335	\$ 229,720	1,510	7%	\$ 16,756	19,193	93%	\$ 212,964
10	\$ 1,931,583	\$ 231,790	2,332	36%	\$ 84,077	4,098	64%	\$ 147,713
11	\$ 6,275,553	\$ 753,066	6,199	23%	\$ 174,653	20,530	77%	\$ 578,413
12	\$ 697,063	\$ 83,648	857	29%	\$ 24,213	2,105	71%	\$ 59,434
13	\$ 1,645,254	\$ 197,431	468	4%	\$ 7,899	11,227	96%	\$ 189,531
Ttl.	\$ 47,022,199	\$ 5,642,664	28,249	10%	\$ 800,339	254,307	90%	\$ 4,842,325

**Substandard Measure**

Measure Weight: 2%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 2,013,425	\$ 40,269	265	26%	\$ 10,527	749	74%	\$ 29,741
2	\$ 771,878	\$ 15,438	238	43%	\$ 6,587	320	57%	\$ 8,851
3	\$ 9,923,312	\$ 198,466	735	10%	\$ 19,908	6,596	90%	\$ 178,558
4	\$ 2,055,878	\$ 41,118	467	49%	\$ 20,303	479	51%	\$ 20,815
5	\$ 475,494	\$ 9,510	347	48%	\$ 4,588	372	52%	\$ 4,922
6	\$ 12,897,558	\$ 257,951	412	6%	\$ 15,529	6,439	94%	\$ 242,422
7	\$ 3,652,668	\$ 73,053	239	10%	\$ 7,461	2,098	90%	\$ 65,592
8	\$ 2,768,198	\$ 55,364	196	19%	\$ 10,594	826	81%	\$ 44,770
9	\$ 1,914,335	\$ 38,287	280	13%	\$ 5,042	1,845	87%	\$ 33,245
10	\$ 1,931,583	\$ 38,632	335	40%	\$ 15,620	493	60%	\$ 23,012
11	\$ 6,275,553	\$ 125,511	1,563	39%	\$ 48,906	2,449	61%	\$ 76,605
12	\$ 697,063	\$ 13,941	130	19%	\$ 2,669	548	81%	\$ 11,272
13	\$ 1,645,254	\$ 32,905	102	8%	\$ 2,711	1,133	92%	\$ 30,194
Ttl.	\$ 47,022,199	\$ 940,444	5,309	18%	\$ 170,445	24,347	82%	\$ 769,999

**2012 Housing Tax Credit Regional Allocation Formula**

**Overall Measure of Affordable Housing Need**

Region	Region's Funding Amount				Rural Need Based Funding Amount	Rural Need Based %		Urban Need Based Funding Amount	Urban Need Based %
1	\$ 2,013,425				\$ 685,301	34.0%		\$ 1,328,124	66.0%
2	\$ 771,878				\$ 352,121	45.6%		\$ 419,757	54.4%
3	\$ 9,923,312				\$ 753,876	7.6%		\$ 9,169,436	92.4%
4	\$ 2,055,878				\$ 1,154,333	56.1%		\$ 901,545	43.9%
5	\$ 475,494				\$ 258,226	54.3%		\$ 217,269	45.7%
6	\$ 12,897,558				\$ 921,536	7.1%		\$ 11,976,023	92.9%
7	\$ 3,652,668				\$ 334,824	9.2%		\$ 3,317,845	90.8%
8	\$ 2,768,198				\$ 488,911	17.7%		\$ 2,279,287	82.3%
9	\$ 1,914,335				\$ 195,881	10.2%		\$ 1,718,454	89.8%
10	\$ 1,931,583				\$ 717,290	37.1%		\$ 1,214,292	62.9%
11	\$ 6,275,553				\$ 1,821,023	29.0%		\$ 4,454,530	71.0%
12	\$ 697,063				\$ 196,754	28.2%		\$ 500,308	71.8%
13	\$ 1,645,254				\$ 115,862	7.0%		\$ 1,529,393	93.0%
Ttl.	\$ 47,022,199				\$ 7,995,938	17.0%		\$ 39,026,261	83.0%



*2012 Housing Tax Credit Regional Allocation Formula*

**Table 7. Measuring Available Housing Resources in Rural and Urban Places**

Region	Reg All Res Rur	Reg All Res Urb	Reg All Res	Rural Resource %	Urban Resource %
1	\$ 9,675,907	\$ 25,415,422	\$ 35,091,329	27.6%	72.4%
2	\$ 14,578,373	\$ 16,689,545	\$ 31,267,918	46.6%	53.4%
3	\$ 20,341,302	\$ 305,653,188	\$ 325,994,490	6.2%	93.8%
4	\$ 20,762,627	\$ 22,351,297	\$ 43,113,923	48.2%	51.8%
5	\$ 28,306,537	\$ 36,547,743	\$ 64,854,280	43.6%	56.4%
6	\$ 20,086,650	\$ 211,307,525	\$ 231,394,175	8.7%	91.3%
7	\$ 13,025,705	\$ 67,236,923	\$ 80,262,628	16.2%	83.8%
8	\$ 14,307,623	\$ 33,583,085	\$ 47,890,707	29.9%	70.1%
9	\$ 14,045,724	\$ 144,188,868	\$ 158,234,593	8.9%	91.1%
10	\$ 17,183,123	\$ 17,589,599	\$ 34,772,722	49.4%	50.6%
11	\$ 23,829,335	\$ 63,010,244	\$ 86,839,579	27.4%	72.6%
12	\$ 6,506,923	\$ 28,918,077	\$ 35,425,000	18.4%	81.6%
13	\$ 1,192,914	\$ 62,159,234	\$ 63,352,148	1.9%	98.1%
<b>Ttl.</b>	<b>\$ 203,842,743</b>	<b>\$ 1,034,650,750</b>	<b>\$ 1,238,493,492</b>	<b>16.5%</b>	<b>83.5%</b>

**2012 Housing Tax Credit Regional Allocation Formula**

**Table 8. Rural and Urban Resource Adjustment**

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Rural Areas							Urban Areas						
		Area's Resource %	Area's Need %	Area's Resource Difference	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Resource %	Area's Need %	Area's Resource Difference	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment
1	\$ 2,013,425	27.6%	34.0%	-6.5%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	72.4%	66.0%	6.5%	\$ (130,130)	\$ 1,328,124	\$ (1,328,124)	\$ (130,130)
2	\$ 771,878	46.6%	45.6%	1.0%	\$ (7,760)	\$ 352,121	\$ (352,121)	\$ (7,760)	53.4%	54.4%	-1.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	\$ 9,923,312	6.2%	7.6%	-1.4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	93.8%	92.4%	1.4%	\$ (134,684)	\$ 9,169,436	\$ (9,169,436)	\$ (134,684)
4	\$ 2,055,878	48.2%	56.1%	-8.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	51.8%	43.9%	8.0%	\$ (164,272)	\$ 901,545	\$ (901,545)	\$ (164,272)
5	\$ 475,494	43.6%	54.3%	-10.7%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	56.4%	45.7%	10.7%	\$ (50,690)	\$ 217,269	\$ (217,269)	\$ (50,690)
6	\$ 12,897,558	8.7%	7.1%	1.5%	\$ (198,064)	\$ 921,536	\$ (921,536)	\$ (198,064)	91.3%	92.9%	-1.5%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
7	\$ 3,652,668	16.2%	9.2%	7.1%	\$ (257,963)	\$ 334,824	\$ (334,824)	\$ (257,963)	83.8%	90.8%	-7.1%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	\$ 2,768,198	29.9%	17.7%	12.2%	\$ (338,104)	\$ 488,911	\$ (488,911)	\$ (338,104)	70.1%	82.3%	-12.2%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
9	\$ 1,914,335	8.9%	10.2%	-1.4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	91.1%	89.8%	1.4%	\$ (25,955)	\$ 1,718,454	\$ (1,718,454)	\$ (25,955)
10	\$ 1,931,583	49.4%	37.1%	12.3%	\$ (237,211)	\$ 717,290	\$ (717,290)	\$ (237,211)	50.6%	62.9%	-12.3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
11	\$ 6,275,553	27.4%	29.0%	-1.6%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	72.6%	71.0%	1.6%	\$ (98,971)	\$ 4,454,530	\$ (4,454,530)	\$ (98,971)
12	\$ 697,063	18.4%	28.2%	-9.9%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	81.6%	71.8%	9.9%	\$ (68,717)	\$ 500,308	\$ (500,308)	\$ (68,717)
13	\$ 1,645,254	1.9%	7.0%	-5.2%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	98.1%	93.0%	5.2%	\$ (84,882)	\$ 1,529,393	\$ (1,529,393)	\$ (84,882)
Ttl.	\$ 47,022,199	16.5%	17.0%	-0.5%	(1,039,102)	2,814,681	(2,814,681)	(1,039,102)	83.5%	83.0%	0.5%	(758,300)	\$ 19,819,058	\$ (19,819,058)	(758,300)

Resource Funding Adjustment Limit:

**2012 Housing Tax Credit Regional Allocation Formula**

**Table 9. Rural and Urban Area Funding Amount**

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Rural Areas					Urban Areas				
		Area's Need %	Area's Need Based Funding Amount	Area's Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Funding Amount	Allocation % Rural	Area's Need %	Area's Need Based Funding Amount	Area's Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Funding Amount	Allocation % Urban
1	\$ 2,013,425	34.0%	685,301	\$ 130,130	\$ 815,431	40.5%	66.0%	\$ 1,328,124	\$ (130,130)	\$ 1,197,994	59.5%
2	\$ 771,878	45.6%	352,121	\$ (7,760)	\$ 344,361	44.6%	54.4%	\$ 419,757	\$ 7,760	\$ 427,517	55.4%
3	\$ 9,923,312	7.6%	753,876	\$ 134,684	\$ 888,560	9.0%	92.4%	\$ 9,169,436	\$ (134,684)	\$ 9,034,751	91.0%
4	\$ 2,055,878	56.1%	1,154,333	\$ 164,272	\$ 1,318,606	64.1%	43.9%	\$ 901,545	\$ (164,272)	\$ 737,272	35.9%
5	\$ 475,494	54.3%	258,226	\$ 50,690	\$ 308,916	65.0%	45.7%	\$ 217,269	\$ (50,690)	\$ 166,579	35.0%
6	\$ 12,897,558	7.1%	921,536	\$ (198,064)	\$ 723,472	5.6%	92.9%	\$ 11,976,023	\$ 198,064	\$ 12,174,087	94.4%
7	\$ 3,652,668	9.2%	334,824	\$ (257,963)	\$ 76,861	2.1%	90.8%	\$ 3,317,845	\$ 257,963	\$ 3,575,807	97.9%
8	\$ 2,768,198	17.7%	488,911	\$ (338,104)	\$ 150,807	5.4%	82.3%	\$ 2,279,287	\$ 338,104	\$ 2,617,391	94.6%
9	\$ 1,914,335	10.2%	195,881	\$ 25,955	\$ 221,835	11.6%	89.8%	\$ 1,718,454	\$ (25,955)	\$ 1,692,500	88.4%
10	\$ 1,931,583	37.1%	717,290	\$ (237,211)	\$ 480,079	24.9%	62.9%	\$ 1,214,292	\$ 237,211	\$ 1,451,504	75.1%
11	\$ 6,275,553	29.0%	1,821,023	\$ 98,971	\$ 1,919,994	30.6%	71.0%	\$ 4,454,530	\$ (98,971)	\$ 4,355,559	69.4%
12	\$ 697,063	28.2%	196,754	\$ 68,717	\$ 265,471	38.1%	71.8%	\$ 500,308	\$ (68,717)	\$ 431,592	61.9%
13	\$ 1,645,254	7.0%	115,862	\$ 84,882	\$ 200,743	12.2%	93.0%	\$ 1,529,393	\$ (84,882)	\$ 1,444,511	87.8%
Til.	\$ 47,022,199	17.0%	7,995,938	\$ (280,802)	\$ 7,715,136	16.4%	83.0%	\$ 39,026,261	\$ 280,802	\$ 39,307,063	83.6%

Rural Pct of State Allocation 14%

**2012 At-Risk and Regional Estimated Funding Amounts  
2012 Applicant Funding Request and Award Limits**

As of 11/29/2011

	Region	Geography	RAF Percentage	RAF Amount	2012 Forwards made in 2011	RAF Amount after Forwards	Adjustment Needed to Meet Minimum \$500,000 Funding Amount	Funding Reduced so Other Regions Meet Minimum \$500,000 Funding Amount	Final Funding Amount	Allocation %	Max Funding Request/Award Limits (150%)
Rural	1	Lubbock	1.7%	\$ 815,431		\$ 815,431	\$ -	\$ 112,034	\$ 703,397	1.88%	\$ 1,055,095
	2	Abilene	0.7%	\$ 344,361		\$ 344,361	\$ 155,639	\$ -	\$ 500,000	1.34%	\$ 750,000
	3	Dallas/Fort Worth	1.9%	\$ 888,560		\$ 888,560	\$ -	\$ 122,082	\$ 766,479	2.05%	\$ 1,149,718
	4	Tyler	2.8%	\$ 1,318,606		\$ 1,318,606	\$ -	\$ 181,167	\$ 1,137,439	3.04%	\$ 1,706,158
	5	Beaumont	0.7%	\$ 308,916		\$ 308,916	\$ 191,084	\$ -	\$ 500,000	1.34%	\$ 750,000
	6	Houston	1.5%	\$ 723,472		\$ 723,472	\$ -	\$ 99,400	\$ 624,072	1.67%	\$ 936,108
	7	Austin/Round Rock	0.2%	\$ 76,861	\$ 1,356,665	\$ (1,279,804)	\$ 1,779,804	\$ -	\$ 500,000	1.34%	\$ 750,000
	8	Waco	0.3%	\$ 150,807		\$ 150,807	\$ 349,193	\$ -	\$ 500,000	1.34%	\$ 750,000
	9	San Antonio	0.5%	\$ 221,835		\$ 221,835	\$ 278,165	\$ -	\$ 500,000	1.34%	\$ 750,000
	10	Corpus Christi	1.0%	\$ 480,079		\$ 480,079	\$ 19,921	\$ -	\$ 500,000	1.34%	\$ 750,000
	11	Brownsville/Harlingen	4.1%	\$ 1,919,994	\$ 1,034,797	\$ 885,197	\$ -	\$ 263,793	\$ 621,404	1.66%	\$ 932,106
	12	San Angelo	0.6%	\$ 265,471		\$ 265,471	\$ 234,529	\$ -	\$ 500,000	1.34%	\$ 750,000
	13	El Paso	0.4%	\$ 200,743		\$ 200,743	\$ 299,257	\$ -	\$ 500,000	1.34%	\$ 750,000
Urban	1	Lubbock	2.5%	\$ 1,197,994		\$ 1,197,994	\$ -	\$ 164,596	\$ 1,033,399	2.76%	\$ 1,550,098
	2	Abilene	0.9%	\$ 427,517	\$ 721,737	\$ (294,220)	\$ 794,220	\$ -	\$ 500,000	1.34%	\$ 750,000
	3	Dallas/Fort Worth	19.2%	\$ 9,034,751	\$ 2,191,228	\$ 6,843,523	\$ -	\$ 1,241,308	\$ 5,602,215	14.96%	\$ 2,000,000
	4	Tyler	1.6%	\$ 737,272		\$ 737,272	\$ -	\$ 101,296	\$ 635,977	1.70%	\$ 953,965
	5	Beaumont	0.4%	\$ 166,579		\$ 166,579	\$ 333,421	\$ -	\$ 500,000	1.34%	\$ 750,000
	6	Houston	25.9%	\$ 12,174,087	\$ 989,141	\$ 11,184,946	\$ -	\$ 1,672,630	\$ 9,512,316	25.40%	\$ 2,000,000
	7	Austin/Round Rock	7.6%	\$ 3,575,807		\$ 3,575,807	\$ -	\$ 491,290	\$ 3,084,518	8.24%	\$ 2,000,000
	8	Waco	5.6%	\$ 2,617,391		\$ 2,617,391	\$ -	\$ 359,610	\$ 2,257,781	6.03%	\$ 2,000,000
	9	San Antonio	3.6%	\$ 1,692,500	\$ 2,000,000	\$ (307,500)	\$ 807,500	\$ -	\$ 500,000	1.34%	\$ 750,000
	10	Corpus Christi	3.1%	\$ 1,451,504		\$ 1,451,504	\$ -	\$ 199,426	\$ 1,252,078	3.34%	\$ 1,878,117
	11	Brownsville/Harlingen	9.3%	\$ 4,355,559	\$ 783,316	\$ 3,572,243	\$ -	\$ 598,422	\$ 2,973,821	7.94%	\$ 2,000,000
	12	San Angelo	0.9%	\$ 431,592	\$ 494,376	\$ (62,784)	\$ 562,784	\$ -	\$ 500,000	1.34%	\$ 750,000
	13	El Paso	3.1%	\$ 1,444,511		\$ 1,444,511	\$ -	\$ 198,465	\$ 1,246,046	3.33%	\$ 1,869,069
Rural Total			16%	\$ 7,715,136	\$ 2,391,462	\$ 5,323,674	\$ 3,307,592	\$ 778,475	\$ 7,852,791	21%	
Urban Totals			84%	\$ 39,307,063	\$ 7,179,798	\$ 32,127,265	\$ 2,497,926	\$ 5,027,043	\$ 29,598,148	79%	
Regional Total				\$ 47,022,199	\$ 9,571,260	\$ 37,450,939	\$ 5,805,518	\$ 5,805,518	\$ 37,450,939		
At-Risk Total				\$ 8,298,035	\$ 944,918	\$ 7,353,117			\$ 7,353,117		
Grand Total				\$ 55,320,234	\$ 10,516,178	\$ 44,804,056	\$ 5,805,518	\$ 5,805,518	\$ 44,804,056		

**NOTES:**

This table reflects the allocation of the estimated 2012 Competitive Housing Tax Credit Ceiling that the Department expects to have available for allocation during the 2012 cycle. The Internal Revenue Service has not yet released the final population figures to be used to determine the 2012 ceiling. The ceiling is estimated as the 2011 population figure multiplied by \$2.20 [Rev. Proc. 2011-52]. The column labeled "Final Funding Amount" is the column an Applicant can reference to determine the amount of credit allocation that is estimated to be available after forward commitments made in 2011 are removed. This information is also used to set the maximum award limits for each State sub-region in accordance with 10 TAC §§50.4(c)(10) and 50.5(c). An Applicant cannot request or be awarded more than the amounts reflected in the column labeled "Max Funding Request/Award Limits (150%)." These maximums are subject to Governor's approval of the the final Board adopted Qualified Allocation Plan. Additionally, these award limits are fixed and will not change even if the regional funding amounts change based on updates to the state population or total amount of credits available during the 2012 cycle.