

2011 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 1. Regional, Rural, and Urban Funding Amounts

The table below shows the allocation of funds to the 13 State Service Regions and the corresponding rural and urban/exurban distribution within each region.

Region	Place for Geographical Reference	Regional Funding Amount	Regional Funding %	Rural Funding Amount	Rural Funding %	Urban Funding Amount	Urban Funding %
1	Lubbock	\$115,293	5.8%	\$49,166	42.6%	\$66,127	57.4%
2	Abilene	\$72,096	3.6%	\$32,875	45.6%	\$39,221	54.4%
3	Dallas/Fort Worth	\$404,122	20.2%	\$30,727	7.6%	\$373,395	92.4%
4	Tyler	\$115,735	5.8%	\$81,477	70.4%	\$34,258	29.6%
5	Beaumont	\$61,677	3.1%	\$28,986	47.0%	\$32,691	53.0%
6	Houston	\$384,237	19.2%	\$23,794	6.2%	\$360,442	93.8%
7	Austin/Round Rock	\$83,085	4.2%	\$6,921	8.3%	\$76,163	91.7%
8	Waco	\$129,383	6.5%	\$20,793	16.1%	\$108,590	83.9%
9	San Antonio	\$129,124	6.5%	\$20,401	15.8%	\$108,723	84.2%
10	Corpus Christi	\$105,436	5.3%	\$35,118	33.3%	\$70,317	66.7%
11	Brownsville/Harlingen	\$228,283	11.4%	\$76,876	33.7%	\$151,407	66.3%
12	San Angelo	\$80,141	4.0%	\$34,579	43.1%	\$45,562	56.9%
13	El Paso	\$91,389	4.6%	\$12,967	14.2%	\$78,421	85.8%
	Total	\$2,000,000	100.0%	\$454,681	22.7%	\$1,545,319	77.3%

2011 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 2. Regional Measures of Affordable Housing Need

Total RAF Funding: \$ 2,000,000

Region	Poverty			Rental Cost Burden			Overcrowding			Substandard Housing			Combined Need Measures	
	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount		
	50%		\$ 1,000,000	36%		\$ 720,000	12%		\$ 240,000	2%		\$ 40,000		
	# of Persons	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Region's Need Based %
1	136,395	4%	\$ 43,704	55,995	4%	\$ 31,777	12,425	3%	\$ 6,896	2,003	3%	\$ 1,382	\$ 83,760	4.2%
2	90,132	3%	\$ 28,881	38,830	3%	\$ 22,036	5,641	1%	\$ 3,131	1,529	3%	\$ 1,056	\$ 55,103	2.8%
3	581,282	19%	\$ 186,257	329,840	26%	\$ 187,185	112,378	26%	\$ 62,372	10,574	18%	\$ 7,298	\$ 443,111	22.2%
4	164,653	5%	\$ 52,759	69,497	5%	\$ 39,440	11,921	3%	\$ 6,616	3,518	6%	\$ 2,428	\$ 101,243	5.1%
5	133,857	4%	\$ 42,891	52,165	4%	\$ 29,604	9,532	2%	\$ 5,290	2,541	4%	\$ 1,754	\$ 79,539	4.0%
6	660,931	21%	\$ 211,779	280,643	22%	\$ 159,265	124,191	29%	\$ 68,928	11,105	19%	\$ 7,665	\$ 447,636	22.4%
7	131,020	4%	\$ 41,982	91,205	7%	\$ 51,759	20,572	5%	\$ 11,418	3,261	6%	\$ 2,251	\$ 107,410	5.4%
8	159,424	5%	\$ 51,083	71,823	6%	\$ 40,760	13,595	3%	\$ 7,546	2,667	5%	\$ 1,841	\$ 101,230	5.1%
9	265,516	9%	\$ 85,078	105,822	8%	\$ 60,054	33,834	8%	\$ 18,778	4,371	8%	\$ 3,017	\$ 166,927	8.3%
10	146,689	5%	\$ 47,003	47,410	4%	\$ 26,905	14,057	3%	\$ 7,802	2,694	5%	\$ 1,860	\$ 83,569	4.2%
11	389,990	12%	\$ 124,962	51,497	4%	\$ 29,224	47,401	11%	\$ 26,308	9,307	16%	\$ 6,424	\$ 186,919	9.3%
12	99,063	3%	\$ 31,742	34,623	3%	\$ 19,649	9,213	2%	\$ 5,113	1,822	3%	\$ 1,258	\$ 57,762	2.9%
13	161,905	5%	\$ 51,878	39,371	3%	\$ 22,343	17,662	4%	\$ 9,802	2,561	4%	\$ 1,768	\$ 85,791	4.3%
Ttl.	3,120,859	100%	\$ 1,000,000	1,268,720	100%	\$ 720,000	432,421	100%	\$ 240,000	57,953	100%	\$ 40,000	\$ 2,000,000	100%

2011 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 3. Regional Distribution of Available Housing Resources

Region	HTF	HOPWA	PHA Capital	S8	USDA MF	USDA RA	HTC	MF Bond	HTC w/ Bond	USDA SF	SF Bond	HOME	Region's Resource Total	Region's Resource %
1	\$ -	\$ 804,235	\$ 2,519,366	\$ 15,089,403	\$ 1,406,295	\$ 149,163	\$ 16,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,023,365	\$ 2,543,847	\$ 3,904,330	\$ 44,440,004	2.1%
2	\$ -	\$ 121,000	\$ 5,450,948	\$ 11,712,581	\$ -	\$ 504,226	\$ 11,107,576	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,147,786	\$ 211,328	\$ 3,405,133	\$ 34,660,578	1.6%
3	\$ 1,239,900	\$ 2,590,718	\$ 14,118,979	\$ 288,157,834	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 141,434	\$ 95,821,352	\$ -	\$ 9,796,032	\$ 10,704,568	\$ 75,234,959	\$ 19,226,487	\$ 518,232,263	24.1%
4	\$ 474,727	\$ 570,000	\$ 4,609,524	\$ 27,599,937	\$ 1,160,000	\$ 1,903,890	\$ 33,053,760	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,258,788	\$ 506,014	\$ 6,738,492	\$ 87,875,131	4.1%
5	\$ 191,667	\$ 272,000	\$ 4,603,641	\$ 41,095,601	\$ -	\$ 73,175	\$ 43,749,888	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,947,546	\$ 3,208,056	\$ 8,558,753	\$ 104,700,327	4.9%
6	\$ 90,500	\$ 5,959,561	\$ 9,727,084	\$ 134,504,827	\$ 2,350,633	\$ 456,238	\$ 155,004,016	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8,944,998	\$ 209,440,424	\$ 22,857,479	\$ 549,335,760	25.6%
7	\$ 1,010,750	\$ 951,390	\$ 5,352,863	\$ 54,876,348	\$ 1,887,128	\$ 16,707	\$ 23,901,416	\$ 3,472,000	\$ 2,375,304	\$ 2,369,089	\$ 85,438,652	\$ 15,098,509	\$ 196,750,156	9.2%
8	\$ 553,410	\$ 255,000	\$ 5,896,896	\$ 23,401,702	\$ -	\$ 211,844	\$ 21,086,344	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,461,782	\$ 7,227,827	\$ 6,010,754	\$ 68,105,559	3.2%
9	\$ 837,400	\$ 1,998,589	\$ 13,249,963	\$ 103,938,914	\$ -	\$ 657,502	\$ 58,522,720	\$ -	\$ 8,482,808	\$ 5,415,855	\$ 29,873,084	\$ 9,633,471	\$ 232,610,306	10.8%
10	\$ 99,667	\$ 429,800	\$ 6,855,775	\$ 18,592,908	\$ -	\$ 70,003	\$ 18,037,472	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,395,574	\$ 657,553	\$ 9,072,987	\$ 58,211,739	2.7%
11	\$ 736,994	\$ 1,149,700	\$ 11,480,525	\$ 55,895,453	\$ 1,372,241	\$ 20,811	\$ 38,508,696	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 17,678,818	\$ 4,718,175	\$ 9,552,955	\$ 141,114,368	6.6%
12	\$ 30,000	\$ 237,000	\$ 1,685,761	\$ 14,427,491	\$ -	\$ 41,908	\$ 8,616,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,160,441	\$ 812,923	\$ 1,717,682	\$ 29,729,206	1.4%
13	\$ 179,994	\$ 260,235	\$ 10,683,333	\$ 33,552,982	\$ -	\$ 26,457	\$ 15,981,448	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,973,962	\$ 12,461,143	\$ 6,008,153	\$ 84,127,706	3.9%
Total	\$ 5,445,008	\$ 15,599,228	\$ 96,234,658	\$ 822,845,981	\$ 9,376,297	\$ 4,273,357	\$ 539,390,688	\$ 3,472,000	\$ 20,654,144	\$ 78,482,572	\$ 432,333,984	\$ 121,785,185	\$ 2,149,893,102	100%
% of Total Resources	0.3%	0.7%	4.5%	38.3%	0.4%	0.2%	25.1%	0.2%	1.0%	3.7%	20.1%	5.7%		

% of Resources not Regionally Allocated:

22.6%

2011 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 4. Regional Available Housing Resources Funding Adjustment

Region	Difference between Resources and Need			Over Allocated Regional Resource Differences						Under Allocated Regional Resource Differences		
	Region's Resource %	Region's Need Based %	Resource Difference	Over Allocated Resource Differences	Over Allocation %	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment	Under Allocated Resource Differences	Under Allocation %	Base Resource Funding Adjustment
1	2.1%	4.2%	-2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	-	83,760	-	-	-2.1%	-17.3%	\$ 31,533
2	1.6%	2.8%	-1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	-	55,103	-	-	-1.1%	-9.3%	\$ 16,993
3	24.1%	22.2%	1.9%	1.9%	15.9%	(38,989)	443,111	(100,350)	(38,989)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
4	4.1%	5.1%	-1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	101,243	-	-	-1.0%	-7.9%	\$ 14,492
5	4.9%	4.0%	0.9%	0.9%	7.3%	(17,862)	79,539	(18,013)	(17,862)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
6	25.6%	22.4%	3.2%	3.2%	25.8%	(63,399)	447,636	(101,375)	(63,399)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
7	9.2%	5.4%	3.8%	3.8%	30.8%	(75,623)	107,410	(24,325)	(24,325)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
8	3.2%	5.1%	-1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	-	101,230	-	-	-1.9%	-15.4%	\$ 28,154
9	10.8%	8.3%	2.5%	2.5%	20.2%	(49,465)	166,927	(37,804)	(37,804)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
10	2.7%	4.2%	-1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	-	83,569	-	-	-1.5%	-12.0%	\$ 21,867
11	6.6%	9.3%	-2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	-	186,919	-	-	-2.8%	-22.7%	\$ 41,364
12	1.4%	2.9%	-1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	-	57,762	-	-	-1.5%	-12.3%	\$ 22,380
13	3.9%	4.3%	-0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	-	85,791	-	-	-0.4%	-3.1%	\$ 5,597
Ttl.	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	12.3%	100.0%	(245,338)	2,000,000	(281,867)	(182,379)	-12.3%	-100.0%	\$ 182,379

State Resource Difference: 12.3%

Total RAF Funding: 2,000,000

State Over Allocated Resource Amount: (245,338)

Regional Resource Funding Adjustment Limit: 22.6%

State Under Allocated Resource Amount

2011 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 5. Regional Funding Amounts

Region	Place for Geographic Reference	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Region's Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Funding Amount	Region's Funding Percentage
1	Lubbock	\$ 83,760	\$ 31,533	\$ 115,293	5.8%
2	Abilene	\$ 55,103	\$ 16,993	\$ 72,096	3.6%
3	Dallas/Fort Worth	\$ 443,111	\$ (38,989)	\$ 404,122	20.2%
4	Tyler	\$ 101,243	\$ 14,492	\$ 115,735	5.8%
5	Beaumont	\$ 79,539	\$ (17,862)	\$ 61,677	3.1%
6	Houston	\$ 447,636	\$ (63,399)	\$ 384,237	19.2%
7	Austin/Round Rock	\$ 107,410	\$ (24,325)	\$ 83,085	4.2%
8	Waco	\$ 101,230	\$ 28,154	\$ 129,383	6.5%
9	San Antonio	\$ 166,927	\$ (37,804)	\$ 129,124	6.5%
10	Corpus Christi	\$ 83,569	\$ 21,867	\$ 105,436	5.3%
11	Brownsville/Harlingen	\$ 186,919	\$ 41,364	\$ 228,283	11.4%
12	San Angelo	\$ 57,762	\$ 22,380	\$ 80,141	4.0%
13	El Paso	\$ 85,791	\$ 5,597	\$ 91,389	4.6%
Ttl.		\$ 2,000,000	\$ -	\$ 2,000,000	100%

2011 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 6. Measuring Affordable Housing Need in Rural and Urban/Exurban Places

Poverty Measure

Measure Weight: 50%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Persons	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Persons	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 115,293	\$ 57,647	40,174	42%	\$ 24,089	6,592,238,7207	58%	\$ 33,558
2	\$ 72,096	\$ 36,048	29,270	53%	\$ 18,992	7,167,918,3015	47%	\$ 17,056
3	\$ 404,122	\$ 202,061	49,490	9%	\$ 17,845	97,386,321,224	91%	\$ 184,216
4	\$ 115,735	\$ 57,868	51,100	60%	\$ 34,875	9,100,973,5335	40%	\$ 22,992
5	\$ 61,677	\$ 30,839	40,004	54%	\$ 16,805	7,924,259,7047	46%	\$ 14,034
6	\$ 384,237	\$ 192,118	43,384	8%	\$ 15,810	20,446,345,755	92%	\$ 176,309
7	\$ 83,085	\$ 41,542	15,068	11%	\$ 4,761	14,092,906,424	89%	\$ 36,782
8	\$ 129,383	\$ 64,692	26,117	22%	\$ 14,110	6,295,889,6997	78%	\$ 50,582
9	\$ 129,124	\$ 64,562	27,780	12%	\$ 7,672	95,127,894,477	88%	\$ 56,890
10	\$ 105,436	\$ 52,718	47,563	47%	\$ 24,785	3,160,639,4742	53%	\$ 27,933
11	\$ 228,283	\$ 114,141	154,266	35%	\$ 39,753	67,574,101,475	65%	\$ 74,388
12	\$ 80,141	\$ 40,071	21,057	35%	\$ 14,115	0,264,521,3943	65%	\$ 25,955
13	\$ 91,389	\$ 45,694	17,225	11%	\$ 4,926	672,928,468,96	89%	\$ 40,769
Ttl.	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	562,499	100%	\$ 238,537	0	0%	\$ 761,463

Cost Burden Measure

Measure Weight: 36%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 115,293	\$ 41,506	13,153	32%	\$ 13,241	6,387,528,7058	68%	\$ 28,265
2	\$ 72,096	\$ 25,955	11,158	47%	\$ 12,081	4,787,472,8573	53%	\$ 13,874
3	\$ 404,122	\$ 145,484	26,014	8%	\$ 11,594	25,635,962,542	92%	\$ 133,890
4	\$ 115,735	\$ 41,665	21,047	58%	\$ 24,102	6,688,301,4208	42%	\$ 17,563
5	\$ 61,677	\$ 22,204	15,888	55%	\$ 12,274	53,151,826,417	45%	\$ 9,930
6	\$ 384,237	\$ 138,325	18,034	8%	\$ 11,703	23,222,015,369	92%	\$ 126,622
7	\$ 83,085	\$ 29,911	9,359	10%	\$ 2,905	7,333,120,7267	90%	\$ 27,005
8	\$ 129,383	\$ 46,578	9,907	18%	\$ 8,559	3,571,977,1829	82%	\$ 38,019
9	\$ 129,124	\$ 46,485	11,655	12%	\$ 5,791	3,532,952,7748	88%	\$ 40,693
10	\$ 105,436	\$ 37,957	11,687	35%	\$ 13,199	1,489,801,7583	65%	\$ 24,758
11	\$ 228,283	\$ 82,182	16,855	28%	\$ 23,228	9,292,431,9213	72%	\$ 58,954
12	\$ 80,141	\$ 28,851	5,951	27%	\$ 7,876	3,475,071,6036	73%	\$ 20,975
13	\$ 91,389	\$ 32,900	2,972	8%	\$ 2,499	67,080,387,457	92%	\$ 30,401
Ttl.	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 720,000	173,682	100%	\$ 149,053	0	0%	\$ 570,947

2011 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Over Crowding Measure

Measure Weight: 12%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 115,293	\$ 13,835	4,075	46%	\$ 6,379	85170301296	54%	\$ 7,457
2	\$ 72,096	\$ 8,652	1,720	48%	\$ 4,192	28714499412	52%	\$ 4,460
3	\$ 404,122	\$ 48,495	5,376	5%	\$ 2,383	39.514067614	95%	\$ 46,112
4	\$ 115,735	\$ 13,888	3,705	57%	\$ 7,986	47794317817	43%	\$ 5,903
5	\$ 61,677	\$ 7,401	2,679	50%	\$ 3,727	32283401039	50%	\$ 3,675
6	\$ 384,237	\$ 46,108	5,716	6%	\$ 2,591	9.1245747988	94%	\$ 43,517
7	\$ 83,085	\$ 9,970	2,115	10%	\$ 1,013	3.3116477644	90%	\$ 8,958
8	\$ 129,383	\$ 15,526	2,201	22%	\$ 3,400	44434419743	78%	\$ 12,126
9	\$ 129,124	\$ 15,495	2,743	9%	\$ 1,392	9.5563784865	91%	\$ 14,102
10	\$ 105,436	\$ 12,652	4,012	41%	\$ 5,162	17802122352	59%	\$ 7,490
11	\$ 228,283	\$ 27,394	17,177	32%	\$ 8,663	38.975042556	68%	\$ 18,731
12	\$ 80,141	\$ 9,617	1,835	33%	\$ 3,160	71993826136	67%	\$ 6,457
13	\$ 91,389	\$ 10,967	1,752	10%	\$ 1,098	0.7114072731	90%	\$ 9,868
Ttl.	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 240,000	55,104	100%	\$ 51,145	0	0%	\$ 188,855

Substandard Measure

Measure Weight: 2%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 115,293	\$ 2,306	522	39%	\$ 898	37073695142	61%	\$ 1,408
2	\$ 72,096	\$ 1,442	443	53%	\$ 762	60232191734	47%	\$ 680
3	\$ 404,122	\$ 8,082	1,101	11%	\$ 916	88765018994	89%	\$ 7,167
4	\$ 115,735	\$ 2,315	832	57%	\$ 1,324	59523098266	43%	\$ 991
5	\$ 61,677	\$ 1,234	565	53%	\$ 649	81130516928	47%	\$ 584
6	\$ 384,237	\$ 7,685	730	9%	\$ 657	0.8688502171	91%	\$ 7,028
7	\$ 83,085	\$ 1,662	464	16%	\$ 269	11913306523	84%	\$ 1,393
8	\$ 129,383	\$ 2,588	440	31%	\$ 812	73934502705	69%	\$ 1,776
9	\$ 129,124	\$ 2,582	546	17%	\$ 433	07664438676	83%	\$ 2,150
10	\$ 105,436	\$ 2,109	792	51%	\$ 1,079	13376004386	49%	\$ 1,030
11	\$ 228,283	\$ 4,566	4,889	50%	\$ 2,296	64640287626	50%	\$ 2,270
12	\$ 80,141	\$ 1,603	281	28%	\$ 448	90850186738	72%	\$ 1,154
13	\$ 91,389	\$ 1,828	498	22%	\$ 395	22005550081	78%	\$ 1,433
Ttl.	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 40,000	12,106	100%	\$ 10,937	0	0%	\$ 29,063

2011 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Overall Measure of Affordable Housing Need

Region	Region's Funding Amount				Rural Need Based Funding Amount	Rural Need Based %		Urban Need Based Funding Amount	Urban Need Based %
1	\$ 115,293				\$ 44,606	38.7%		\$ 70,687	61.3%
2	\$ 72,096				\$ 36,026	50.0%		\$ 36,070	50.0%
3	\$ 404,122				\$ 32,737	8.1%		\$ 371,385	91.9%
4	\$ 115,735				\$ 68,287	59.0%		\$ 47,449	41.0%
5	\$ 61,677				\$ 33,455	54.2%		\$ 28,223	45.8%
6	\$ 384,237				\$ 30,760	8.0%		\$ 353,476	92.0%
7	\$ 83,085				\$ 8,948	10.8%		\$ 74,137	89.2%
8	\$ 129,383				\$ 26,880	20.8%		\$ 102,503	79.2%
9	\$ 129,124				\$ 15,289	11.8%		\$ 113,835	88.2%
10	\$ 105,436				\$ 44,225	41.9%		\$ 61,210	58.1%
11	\$ 228,283				\$ 73,941	32.4%		\$ 154,342	67.6%
12	\$ 80,141				\$ 25,600	31.9%		\$ 54,541	68.1%
13	\$ 91,389				\$ 8,918	9.8%		\$ 82,471	90.2%
Ttl.	\$ 2,000,000				\$ 449,671	22.5%		\$ 1,550,329	77.5%

2011 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 7. Measuring Available Housing Resources in Rural and Urban Places

Region	Reg All Res Rur	Reg All Res Urb	Reg All Res	Rural Resource %	Urban Resource %
1	\$ 14,417,826	\$ 27,091,838	\$ 41,509,664	34.7%	65.3%
2	\$ 18,303,150	\$ 15,379,752	\$ 33,682,902	54.3%	45.7%
3	\$ 44,483,375	\$ 472,882,497	\$ 517,365,872	8.6%	91.4%
4	\$ 41,778,590	\$ 45,981,348	\$ 87,759,938	47.6%	52.4%
5	\$ 64,248,900	\$ 40,243,325	\$ 104,492,225	61.5%	38.5%
6	\$ 56,699,408	\$ 451,104,081	\$ 507,803,489	11.2%	88.8%
7	\$ 35,653,724	\$ 152,865,581	\$ 188,519,305	18.9%	81.1%
8	\$ 25,008,186	\$ 42,510,185	\$ 67,518,371	37.0%	63.0%
9	\$ 18,303,321	\$ 213,928,273	\$ 232,231,594	7.9%	92.1%
10	\$ 29,445,179	\$ 28,766,560	\$ 58,211,739	50.6%	49.4%
11	\$ 42,485,369	\$ 94,105,583	\$ 136,590,952	31.1%	68.9%
12	\$ 6,072,642	\$ 23,207,284	\$ 29,279,926	20.7%	79.3%
13	\$ 4,476,649	\$ 79,564,956	\$ 84,041,606	5.3%	94.7%
Ttl.	\$ 401,376,319	\$ 1,687,631,264	\$ 2,089,007,582	19.2%	80.8%

2011 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 8. Rural and Urban Resource Adjustment

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Rural Areas							Urban Areas						
		Area's Resource %	Area's Need %	Area's Resource Difference	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Resource %	Area's Need %	Area's Resource Difference	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment
1	\$ 115,293	34.7%	38.7%	-4.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	65.3%	61.3%	4.0%	\$ (4,560)	\$ 70,687	\$ (43,339)	\$ (4,560)
2	\$ 72,096	54.3%	50.0%	4.4%	\$ (3,151)	\$ 36,026	\$ (8,159)	\$ (3,151)	45.7%	50.0%	-4.4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	\$ 404,122	8.6%	8.1%	0.5%	\$ (2,010)	\$ 32,737	\$ (7,414)	\$ (2,010)	91.4%	91.9%	-0.5%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	\$ 115,735	47.6%	59.0%	-11.4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	52.4%	41.0%	11.4%	\$ (13,190)	\$ 47,449	\$ (19,453)	\$ (13,190)
5	\$ 61,677	61.5%	54.2%	7.2%	\$ (4,469)	\$ 33,455	\$ (7,576)	\$ (4,469)	38.5%	45.8%	-7.2%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
6	\$ 384,237	11.2%	8.0%	3.2%	\$ (12,142)	\$ 30,760	\$ (6,966)	\$ (6,966)	88.8%	92.0%	-3.2%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
7	\$ 83,085	18.9%	10.8%	8.1%	\$ (6,766)	\$ 8,948	\$ (2,026)	\$ (2,026)	81.1%	89.2%	-8.1%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	\$ 129,383	37.0%	20.8%	16.3%	\$ (21,042)	\$ 26,880	\$ (6,088)	\$ (6,088)	63.0%	79.2%	-16.3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
9	\$ 129,124	7.9%	11.8%	-4.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	92.1%	88.2%	4.0%	\$ (5,112)	\$ 113,835	\$ (100,357)	\$ (5,112)
10	\$ 105,436	50.6%	41.9%	8.6%	\$ (9,107)	\$ 44,225	\$ (10,016)	\$ (9,107)	49.4%	58.1%	-8.6%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
11	\$ 228,283	31.1%	32.4%	-1.3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	68.9%	67.6%	1.3%	\$ (2,935)	\$ 154,342	\$ (104,351)	\$ (2,935)
12	\$ 80,141	20.7%	31.9%	-11.2%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	79.3%	68.1%	11.2%	\$ (8,979)	\$ 54,541	\$ (37,119)	\$ (8,979)
13	\$ 91,389	5.3%	9.8%	-4.4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	94.7%	90.2%	4.4%	\$ (4,050)	\$ 82,471	\$ (74,423)	\$ (4,050)
Ttl.	\$ 2,000,000	19.2%	22.5%	-3.3%	(58,686)	213,031	(48,245)	(33,816)	80.8%	77.5%	3.3%	(38,826)	\$ 523,325	\$ (379,041)	(38,826)
Resource Funding Adjustment Limit:							22.6%								

2011 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 9. Rural and Urban Area Funding Amount

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Rural Areas					Urban Areas				
		Area's Need %	Area's Need Based Funding Amount	Area's Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Funding Amount	Allocation % Rural	Area's Need %	Area's Need Based Funding Amount	Area's Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Funding Amount	Allocation % Urban
1	\$ 115,293	38.7%	44,606	\$ 4,560	\$ 49,166	42.6%	61.3%	\$ 70,687	\$ (4,560)	\$ 66,127	57.4%
2	\$ 72,096	50.0%	36,026	\$ (3,151)	\$ 32,875	45.6%	50.0%	\$ 36,070	\$ 3,151	\$ 39,221	54.4%
3	\$ 404,122	8.1%	32,737	\$ (2,010)	\$ 30,727	7.6%	91.9%	\$ 371,385	\$ 2,010	\$ 373,395	92.4%
4	\$ 115,735	59.0%	68,287	\$ 13,190	\$ 81,477	70.4%	41.0%	\$ 47,449	\$ (13,190)	\$ 34,258	29.6%
5	\$ 61,677	54.2%	33,455	\$ (4,469)	\$ 28,986	47.0%	45.8%	\$ 28,223	\$ 4,469	\$ 32,691	53.0%
6	\$ 384,237	8.0%	30,760	\$ (6,966)	\$ 23,794	6.2%	92.0%	\$ 353,476	\$ 6,966	\$ 360,442	93.8%
7	\$ 83,085	10.8%	8,948	\$ (2,026)	\$ 6,921	8.3%	89.2%	\$ 74,137	\$ 2,026	\$ 76,163	91.7%
8	\$ 129,383	20.8%	26,880	\$ (6,088)	\$ 20,793	16.1%	79.2%	\$ 102,503	\$ 6,088	\$ 108,590	83.9%
9	\$ 129,124	11.8%	15,289	\$ 5,112	\$ 20,401	15.8%	88.2%	\$ 113,835	\$ (5,112)	\$ 108,723	84.2%
10	\$ 105,436	41.9%	44,225	\$ (9,107)	\$ 35,118	33.3%	58.1%	\$ 61,210	\$ 9,107	\$ 70,317	66.7%
11	\$ 228,283	32.4%	73,941	\$ 2,935	\$ 76,876	33.7%	67.6%	\$ 154,342	\$ (2,935)	\$ 151,407	66.3%
12	\$ 80,141	31.9%	25,600	\$ 8,979	\$ 34,579	43.1%	68.1%	\$ 54,541	\$ (8,979)	\$ 45,562	56.9%
13	\$ 91,389	9.8%	8,918	\$ 4,050	\$ 12,967	14.2%	90.2%	\$ 82,471	\$ (4,050)	\$ 78,421	85.8%
Ttl.	\$ 2,000,000	22.5%	449,671	\$ 5,010	\$ 454,681	22.7%	77.5%	\$ 1,550,329	\$ (5,010)	\$ 1,545,319	77.3%