

2011 Housing Tax Credit Regional Allocation Formula

Table 1. Regional, Rural, and Urban Funding Amounts

The table below shows the allocation of funds to the 13 State Service Regions and the corresponding rural and urban/urban distribution within each region.

Region	Place for Geographical Reference	Regional Funding Amount	Regional Funding %	Rural Funding Amount	Rural Funding %	Urban Funding Amount	Urban Funding %
1	Lubbock	\$1,923,703	4.4%	\$809,558	42.1%	\$1,114,146	57.9%
2	Abilene	\$1,188,198	2.7%	\$529,117	44.5%	\$659,081	55.5%
3	Dallas/Fort Worth	\$9,474,539	21.8%	\$1,095,748	11.6%	\$8,378,791	88.4%
4	Tyler	\$1,950,829	4.5%	\$1,205,946	61.8%	\$744,883	38.2%
5	Beaumont	\$1,490,636	3.4%	\$780,304	52.3%	\$710,331	47.7%
6	Houston	\$10,410,306	24.0%	\$908,649	8.7%	\$9,501,657	91.3%
7	Austin/Round Rock	\$2,410,963	5.6%	\$557,625	23.1%	\$1,853,339	76.9%
8	Waco	\$2,422,914	5.6%	\$557,910	23.0%	\$1,865,004	77.0%
9	San Antonio	\$3,392,677	7.8%	\$614,367	18.1%	\$2,778,310	81.9%
10	Corpus Christi	\$1,844,502	4.2%	\$717,931	38.9%	\$1,126,571	61.1%
11	Brownsville/Harlingen	\$3,853,440	8.9%	\$1,367,015	35.5%	\$2,486,425	64.5%
12	San Angelo	\$1,220,721	2.8%	\$529,177	43.3%	\$691,545	56.7%
13	El Paso	\$1,840,221	4.2%	\$543,983	29.6%	\$1,296,237	70.4%
	Total	\$43,423,648	100.0%	\$10,217,329	23.5%	\$33,206,319	76.5%

2011 Housing Tax Credit Regional Allocation Formula

Table 2. Regional Measures of Affordable Housing Need

Total RAF Funding: \$ 43,423,648

Region	Poverty			Rental Cost Burden			Overcrowding			Substandard Housing			Combined Need Measures	
	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount		
	50%		\$ 21,711,824	36%		\$ 15,632,513	12%		\$ 5,210,838	2%		\$ 868,473	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	
	# of Persons	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Region's Need Based %
1	136,395	4%	\$ 948,903	30,920	4%	\$ 701,844	7,366	3%	\$ 135,983	1,303	4%	\$ 34,958	\$ 1,821,689	4.2%
2	90,132	3%	\$ 627,050	18,378	3%	\$ 417,154	3,159	1%	\$ 58,325	845	3%	\$ 22,658	\$ 1,125,187	2.6%
3	581,282	19%	\$ 4,043,981	188,935	27%	\$ 4,288,621	81,812	29%	\$ 1,510,413	7,216	22%	\$ 193,573	\$ 10,036,588	23.1%
4	164,653	5%	\$ 1,145,495	28,111	4%	\$ 638,083	6,310	2%	\$ 116,492	1,633	5%	\$ 43,812	\$ 1,943,882	4.5%
5	133,857	4%	\$ 931,246	22,782	3%	\$ 517,131	5,259	2%	\$ 97,083	1,253	4%	\$ 33,603	\$ 1,579,063	3.6%
6	660,931	21%	\$ 4,598,102	160,104	23%	\$ 3,634,185	88,483	31%	\$ 1,633,568	7,216	22%	\$ 193,570	\$ 10,059,424	23.2%
7	131,020	4%	\$ 911,505	57,986	8%	\$ 1,316,224	14,626	5%	\$ 270,024	2,096	6%	\$ 56,233	\$ 2,553,987	5.9%
8	159,424	5%	\$ 1,109,112	43,066	6%	\$ 977,554	9,213	3%	\$ 170,089	1,404	4%	\$ 37,671	\$ 2,294,426	5.3%
9	265,516	9%	\$ 1,847,196	57,403	8%	\$ 1,302,990	20,612	7%	\$ 380,536	2,356	7%	\$ 63,215	\$ 3,593,937	8.3%
10	146,689	5%	\$ 1,020,512	23,831	3%	\$ 540,941	8,262	3%	\$ 152,537	1,219	4%	\$ 32,698	\$ 1,746,688	4.0%
11	389,990	12%	\$ 2,713,164	20,922	3%	\$ 474,901	21,535	8%	\$ 397,586	3,610	11%	\$ 96,832	\$ 3,682,483	8.5%
12	99,063	3%	\$ 689,181	15,920	2%	\$ 361,361	4,344	2%	\$ 80,194	941	3%	\$ 25,249	\$ 1,155,986	2.7%
13	161,905	5%	\$ 1,126,376	20,332	3%	\$ 461,523	11,267	4%	\$ 208,007	1,282	4%	\$ 34,402	\$ 1,830,308	4.2%
Ttl.	3,120,859	100%	\$ 21,711,824	688,689	100%	\$ 15,632,513	282,246	100%	\$ 5,210,838	32,374	100%	\$ 868,473	\$ 43,423,648	100%

2011 Housing Tax Credit Regional Allocation Formula

Table 3. Regional Distribution of Available Housing Resources

Region	HOME	HOPWA	PHA Capital	S8	USDA MF	USDA RA	HTC	MF BOND	HTC Bond	HTF	Total Resources	Region's Resource %
1	\$ 42,999	\$ 804,235	\$ 2,519,366	\$ 15,089,403	\$ 1,406,295	\$ 149,163	\$ 14,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 34,011,461	2%
2	\$ 1,100,000	\$ 121,000	\$ 5,450,948	\$ 11,635,660	\$ -	\$ 504,226	\$ 9,719,129	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 28,530,963	2%
3	\$ 8,558,004	\$ 2,590,718	\$ 14,118,979	\$ 286,250,567	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 141,434	\$ 83,843,683	\$ -	\$ 8,571,528	\$ -	\$ 405,274,913	27%
4	\$ 1,539,272	\$ 570,000	\$ 4,609,524	\$ 27,596,823	\$ 1,160,000	\$ 1,903,890	\$ 28,922,040	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 66,301,549	4%
5	\$ 6,034,055	\$ 272,000	\$ 4,603,641	\$ 41,095,601	\$ -	\$ 73,175	\$ 38,281,152	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 90,359,624	6%
6	\$ 16,799,184	\$ 5,959,561	\$ 9,727,084	\$ 132,290,660	\$ 2,350,633	\$ 456,238	\$ 135,628,514	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 303,211,874	20%
7	\$ 8,554,026	\$ 951,390	\$ 5,352,863	\$ 54,518,621	\$ 1,887,128	\$ 16,707	\$ 20,913,739	\$ 3,472,000	\$ 2,078,391	\$ 500,000	\$ 98,244,865	7%
8	\$ 3,026,565	\$ 255,000	\$ 5,896,896	\$ 23,173,284	\$ -	\$ 211,844	\$ 18,450,551	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 51,014,140	3%
9	\$ 7,441,407	\$ 1,998,589	\$ 13,249,963	\$ 103,790,142	\$ -	\$ 657,502	\$ 51,207,380	\$ -	\$ 7,422,457	\$ -	\$ 185,767,440	12%
10	\$ 4,765,161	\$ 429,800	\$ 6,855,775	\$ 18,592,908	\$ -	\$ 70,003	\$ 15,782,788	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 46,496,435	3%
11	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,149,700	\$ 11,480,525	\$ 55,894,593	\$ 1,372,241	\$ 20,811	\$ 33,695,109	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 105,612,979	7.0%
12	\$ -	\$ 237,000	\$ 1,685,761	\$ 14,410,773	\$ -	\$ 41,908	\$ 7,539,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 23,914,442	2%
13	\$ 3,493,892	\$ 260,235	\$ 10,683,333	\$ 33,552,982	\$ -	\$ 26,457	\$ 13,983,767	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 62,000,666	4%
Total	\$ 63,354,565	\$ 15,599,228	\$ 96,234,658	\$ 817,892,017	\$ 9,376,297	\$ 4,273,357	\$ 471,966,852	\$ 3,472,000	\$ 18,072,376	\$ 500,000	\$ 1,500,741,350	100%
% of Total Resources	4.2%	1.0%	6.4%	54.5%	0.6%	0.3%	31.4%	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%	100%	

% of Resources not Regionally Allocated:

7.3%

2011 Housing Tax Credit Regional Allocation Formula

Table 4. Regional Available Housing Resources Funding Adjustment

Region	Difference between Resources and Need			Over Allocated Regional Resource Differences						Under Allocated Regional Resource Differences				
	Region's Resource %	Region's Need Based %	Resource Difference	Over Allocated Resource Differences	Over Allocation %	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment	Under Allocated Resource Differences	Under Allocation %	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment
1	2.3%	4.2%	-1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	-	1,821,689	-	-	-1.9%	-17.5%	\$ 173,749	\$ 102,015	\$ 102,015
2	1.9%	2.6%	-0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	-	1,125,187	-	-	-0.7%	-6.2%	\$ 62,160	\$ 63,010	\$ 63,010
3	27.0%	23.1%	3.9%	3.9%	35.2%	(1,689,960)	10,036,588	(562,049)	(562,049)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	4.4%	4.5%	-0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	-	1,943,882	-	-	-0.1%	-0.5%	\$ 5,282	\$ 108,857	\$ 6,946
5	6.0%	3.6%	2.4%	2.4%	21.6%	(1,035,474)	1,579,063	(88,428)	(88,428)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
6	20.2%	23.2%	-3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	10,059,424	-	-	-3.0%	-26.8%	\$ 266,782	\$ 563,328	\$ 350,881
7	6.5%	5.9%	0.7%	0.7%	6.0%	(288,709)	2,553,987	(143,023)	(143,023)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	3.4%	5.3%	-1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	-	2,294,426	-	-	-1.9%	-17.1%	\$ 169,759	\$ 128,488	\$ 128,488
9	12.4%	8.3%	4.1%	4.1%	37.1%	(1,781,206)	3,593,937	(201,260)	(201,260)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
10	3.1%	4.0%	-0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	-	1,746,688	-	-	-0.9%	-8.4%	\$ 83,251	\$ 97,815	\$ 97,815
11	7.0%	8.5%	-1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	-	3,682,483	-	-	-1.4%	-13.1%	\$ 129,982	\$ 206,219	\$ 170,957
12	1.6%	2.7%	-1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	-	1,155,986	-	-	-1.1%	-9.7%	\$ 96,259	\$ 64,735	\$ 64,735
13	4.1%	4.2%	-0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	-	1,830,308	-	-	-0.1%	-0.8%	\$ 7,537	\$ 102,497	\$ 9,913
Ttl.	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	11.0%	100.0%	(4,795,350)	43,423,648	(994,760)	(994,760)	-11.0%	-100.0%	\$ 994,760	\$ 2,431,724	\$ 994,760

State Resource Difference: 11.0%
 Total RAF Funding: 43,423,648
 State Over Allocated Resource Amount: (4,795,350)
 Regional Resource Funding Adjustment Limit: 5.6%

State Under Allocated Resource Amount

5.600%

2011 Housing Tax Credit Regional Allocation Formula

Table 5. Regional Funding Amounts

Region	Place for Geographic Reference	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Region's Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Funding Amount	Region's Funding Percentage
1	Lubbock	\$ 1,821,689	\$ 102,015	\$ 1,923,703	4.4%
2	Abilene	\$ 1,125,187	\$ 63,010	\$ 1,188,198	2.7%
3	Dallas/Fort Worth	\$ 10,036,588	\$ (562,049)	\$ 9,474,539	21.8%
4	Tyler	\$ 1,943,882	\$ 6,946	\$ 1,950,829	4.5%
5	Beaumont	\$ 1,579,063	\$ (88,428)	\$ 1,490,636	3.4%
6	Houston	\$ 10,059,424	\$ 350,881	\$ 10,410,306	24.0%
7	Austin/Round Rock	\$ 2,553,987	\$ (143,023)	\$ 2,410,963	5.6%
8	Waco	\$ 2,294,426	\$ 128,488	\$ 2,422,914	5.6%
9	San Antonio	\$ 3,593,937	\$ (201,260)	\$ 3,392,677	7.8%
10	Corpus Christi	\$ 1,746,688	\$ 97,815	\$ 1,844,502	4.2%
11	Brownsville/Harlingen	\$ 3,682,483	\$ 170,957	\$ 3,853,440	8.9%
12	San Angelo	\$ 1,155,986	\$ 64,735	\$ 1,220,721	2.8%
13	El Paso	\$ 1,830,308	\$ 9,913	\$ 1,840,221	4.2%
Ttl.		\$ 43,423,648	\$ 0	\$ 43,423,648	100%

2011 Housing Tax Credit Regional Allocation Formula

Table 6. Measuring Affordable Housing Need in Rural and Urban/Exurban Places

Poverty Measure

Measure Weight: 50%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Persons	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Persons	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 1,923,703	\$ 961,852	40,174	42%	\$ 401,928	55,967	58%	\$ 559,924
2	\$ 1,188,198	\$ 594,099	29,270	53%	\$ 312,998	26,287	47%	\$ 281,101
3	\$ 9,474,539	\$ 4,737,269	49,490	9%	\$ 418,364	510,897	91%	\$ 4,318,906
4	\$ 1,950,829	\$ 975,414	51,100	60%	\$ 587,856	33,689	40%	\$ 387,558
5	\$ 1,490,636	\$ 745,318	40,004	54%	\$ 406,143	33,408	46%	\$ 339,174
6	\$ 10,410,306	\$ 5,205,153	43,384	8%	\$ 395,486	527,611	92%	\$ 4,809,667
7	\$ 2,410,963	\$ 1,205,482	15,068	11%	\$ 138,149	116,414	89%	\$ 1,067,332
8	\$ 2,422,914	\$ 1,211,457	26,117	22%	\$ 264,231	93,626	78%	\$ 947,226
9	\$ 3,392,677	\$ 1,696,338	27,780	12%	\$ 201,581	205,995	88%	\$ 1,494,758
10	\$ 1,844,502	\$ 922,251	47,563	47%	\$ 433,593	53,603	53%	\$ 488,658
11	\$ 3,853,440	\$ 1,926,720	154,266	35%	\$ 671,042	288,668	65%	\$ 1,255,678
12	\$ 1,220,721	\$ 610,361	21,057	35%	\$ 215,006	38,720	65%	\$ 395,355
13	\$ 1,840,221	\$ 920,110	17,225	11%	\$ 99,183	142,573	89%	\$ 820,928
Ttl.	\$ 43,423,648	\$ 21,711,824	562,499	21%	\$ 4,545,560	2,127,459	79%	\$ 17,166,264

Cost Burden Measure

Measure Weight: 36%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 1,923,703	\$ 692,533	6,045	24%	\$ 168,233	18,840	76%	\$ 524,300
2	\$ 1,188,198	\$ 427,751	4,841	37%	\$ 159,357	8,154	63%	\$ 268,395
3	\$ 9,474,539	\$ 3,410,834	12,814	7%	\$ 224,104	182,220	93%	\$ 3,186,730
4	\$ 1,950,829	\$ 702,298	10,568	52%	\$ 365,417	9,743	48%	\$ 336,882
5	\$ 1,490,636	\$ 536,629	8,798	55%	\$ 293,812	7,271	45%	\$ 242,817
6	\$ 10,410,306	\$ 3,747,710	9,853	7%	\$ 252,148	136,594	93%	\$ 3,495,562
7	\$ 2,410,963	\$ 867,947	3,884	6%	\$ 51,169	62,000	94%	\$ 816,778
8	\$ 2,422,914	\$ 872,249	4,648	12%	\$ 107,161	33,182	88%	\$ 765,088
9	\$ 3,392,677	\$ 1,221,364	5,590	10%	\$ 123,613	49,645	90%	\$ 1,097,751
10	\$ 1,844,502	\$ 664,021	5,521	30%	\$ 197,312	13,060	70%	\$ 466,708
11	\$ 3,853,440	\$ 1,387,239	6,309	25%	\$ 341,465	19,323	75%	\$ 1,045,773
12	\$ 1,220,721	\$ 439,460	2,339	21%	\$ 91,865	8,850	79%	\$ 347,595
13	\$ 1,840,221	\$ 662,479	993	5%	\$ 32,261	19,400	95%	\$ 630,218
Ttl.	\$ 43,423,648	\$ 15,632,513	82,205	13%	\$ 2,407,917	568,281	87%	\$ 13,224,596

2011 Housing Tax Credit Regional Allocation Formula

Over Crowding Measure

Measure Weight: 12%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 1,923,703	\$ 230,844	2,129	40%	\$ 91,527	3,241	60%	\$ 139,318
2	\$ 1,188,198	\$ 142,584	847	40%	\$ 57,712	1,245	60%	\$ 84,872
3	\$ 9,474,539	\$ 1,136,945	3,312	4%	\$ 46,544	77,581	96%	\$ 1,090,400
4	\$ 1,950,829	\$ 234,099	2,168	53%	\$ 124,113	1,921	47%	\$ 109,986
5	\$ 1,490,636	\$ 178,876	1,737	50%	\$ 89,005	1,754	50%	\$ 89,872
6	\$ 10,410,306	\$ 1,249,237	3,421	4%	\$ 51,650	79,321	96%	\$ 1,197,586
7	\$ 2,410,963	\$ 289,316	1,161	7%	\$ 21,008	14,827	93%	\$ 268,308
8	\$ 2,422,914	\$ 290,750	1,341	18%	\$ 52,703	6,059	82%	\$ 238,047
9	\$ 3,392,677	\$ 407,121	1,436	7%	\$ 29,770	18,199	93%	\$ 377,351
10	\$ 1,844,502	\$ 221,340	2,253	37%	\$ 81,043	3,900	63%	\$ 140,297
11	\$ 3,853,440	\$ 462,413	6,098	23%	\$ 108,536	19,883	77%	\$ 353,877
12	\$ 1,220,721	\$ 146,487	814	30%	\$ 43,401	1,934	70%	\$ 103,086
13	\$ 1,840,221	\$ 220,826	480	4%	\$ 9,413	10,789	96%	\$ 211,413
Ttl.	\$ 43,423,648	\$ 5,210,838	27,197	10%	\$ 806,423	240,655	90%	\$ 4,404,414

Substandard Measure

Measure Weight: 2%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 1,923,703	\$ 38,474	254	27%	\$ 10,245	700	73%	\$ 28,229
2	\$ 1,188,198	\$ 23,764	233	43%	\$ 10,207	310	57%	\$ 13,557
3	\$ 9,474,539	\$ 189,491	686	10%	\$ 18,716	6,259	90%	\$ 170,775
4	\$ 1,950,829	\$ 39,017	454	50%	\$ 19,406	459	50%	\$ 19,611
5	\$ 1,490,636	\$ 29,813	335	49%	\$ 14,544	351	51%	\$ 15,269
6	\$ 10,410,306	\$ 208,206	393	6%	\$ 12,672	6,062	94%	\$ 195,534
7	\$ 2,410,963	\$ 48,219	220	10%	\$ 4,887	1,953	90%	\$ 43,332
8	\$ 2,422,914	\$ 48,458	185	19%	\$ 9,355	772	81%	\$ 39,103
9	\$ 3,392,677	\$ 67,854	260	13%	\$ 8,905	1,720	87%	\$ 58,949
10	\$ 1,844,502	\$ 36,890	327	41%	\$ 15,129	470	59%	\$ 21,761
11	\$ 3,853,440	\$ 77,069	1,607	40%	\$ 30,863	2,405	60%	\$ 46,206
12	\$ 1,220,721	\$ 24,414	122	19%	\$ 4,755	504	81%	\$ 19,659
13	\$ 1,840,221	\$ 36,804	103	9%	\$ 3,191	1,090	91%	\$ 33,614
Ttl.	\$ 43,423,648	\$ 868,473	5,179	18%	\$ 162,873	23,055	82%	\$ 705,600

2011 Housing Tax Credit Regional Allocation Formula

Overall Measure of Affordable Housing Need

Region	Region's Funding Amount				Rural Need Based Funding Amount	Rural Need Based %		Urban Need Based Funding Amount	Urban Need Based %
1	\$ 1,923,703				\$ 671,932	34.9%		\$ 1,251,771	65.1%
2	\$ 1,188,198				\$ 540,273	45.5%		\$ 647,925	54.5%
3	\$ 9,474,539				\$ 707,728	7.5%		\$ 8,766,811	92.5%
4	\$ 1,950,829				\$ 1,096,792	56.2%		\$ 854,037	43.8%
5	\$ 1,490,636				\$ 803,504	53.9%		\$ 687,132	46.1%
6	\$ 10,410,306				\$ 711,956	6.8%		\$ 9,698,349	93.2%
7	\$ 2,410,963				\$ 215,214	8.9%		\$ 2,195,750	91.1%
8	\$ 2,422,914				\$ 433,450	17.9%		\$ 1,989,464	82.1%
9	\$ 3,392,677				\$ 363,868	10.7%		\$ 3,028,809	89.3%
10	\$ 1,844,502				\$ 727,077	39.4%		\$ 1,117,425	60.6%
11	\$ 3,853,440				\$ 1,151,906	29.9%		\$ 2,701,535	70.1%
12	\$ 1,220,721				\$ 355,026	29.1%		\$ 865,695	70.9%
13	\$ 1,840,221				\$ 144,047	7.8%		\$ 1,696,173	92.2%
Ttl.	\$ 43,423,648				\$ 7,922,773	18.2%		\$ 35,500,875	81.8%

2011 Housing Tax Credit Regional Allocation Formula

Table 7. Measuring Available Housing Resources in Rural and Urban Places

Region	Reg All Res Rur	Reg All Res Urb	Reg All Res	Rural Resource %	Urban Resource %
1	\$ 9,077,756	\$ 22,728,365	\$ 31,806,121	28.5%	71.5%
2	\$ 14,562,064	\$ 13,964,839	\$ 28,526,903	51.0%	49.0%
3	\$ 23,364,693	\$ 381,955,978	\$ 405,320,671	5.8%	94.2%
4	\$ 28,433,551	\$ 37,834,792	\$ 66,268,343	42.9%	57.1%
5	\$ 55,473,050	\$ 34,886,574	\$ 90,359,624	61.4%	38.6%
6	\$ 21,766,737	\$ 267,495,955	\$ 289,262,692	7.5%	92.5%
7	\$ 18,541,873	\$ 76,177,489	\$ 94,719,361	19.6%	80.4%
8	\$ 18,410,976	\$ 32,259,059	\$ 50,670,035	36.3%	63.7%
9	\$ 10,647,635	\$ 175,119,805	\$ 185,767,440	5.7%	94.3%
10	\$ 23,039,114	\$ 23,398,184	\$ 46,437,298	49.6%	50.4%
11	\$ 27,800,342	\$ 76,317,849	\$ 104,118,190	26.7%	73.3%
12	\$ 3,893,312	\$ 20,021,130	\$ 23,914,442	16.3%	83.7%
13	\$ 1,192,332	\$ 60,722,233	\$ 61,914,565	1.9%	98.1%
Ttl.	\$ 256,203,434	\$ 1,222,882,251	\$ 1,479,085,685	17.3%	82.7%

2011 Housing Tax Credit Regional Allocation Formula

Table 8. Rural and Urban Resource Adjustment

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Rural Areas							Urban Areas						
		Area's Resource %	Area's Need %	Area's Resource Difference	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Resource %	Area's Need %	Area's Resource Difference	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment
1	\$ 1,923,703	28.5%	34.9%	-6.4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	71.5%	65.1%	6.4%	\$ (122,890)	\$ 1,251,771	\$ (91,646)	\$ (91,646)
2	\$ 1,188,198	51.0%	45.5%	5.6%	\$ (66,264)	\$ 540,273	\$ (39,555)	\$ (39,555)	49.0%	54.5%	-5.6%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	\$ 9,474,539	5.8%	7.5%	-1.7%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	94.2%	92.5%	1.7%	\$ (161,569)	\$ 8,766,811	\$ (641,849)	\$ (161,569)
4	\$ 1,950,829	42.9%	56.2%	-13.3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	57.1%	43.8%	13.3%	\$ (259,756)	\$ 854,037	\$ (62,527)	\$ (62,527)
5	\$ 1,490,636	61.4%	53.9%	7.5%	\$ (111,619)	\$ 803,504	\$ (58,827)	\$ (58,827)	38.6%	46.1%	-7.5%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
6	\$ 10,410,306	7.5%	6.8%	0.7%	\$ (71,409)	\$ 711,956	\$ (52,125)	\$ (52,125)	92.5%	93.2%	-0.7%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
7	\$ 2,410,963	19.6%	8.9%	10.6%	\$ (256,747)	\$ 215,214	\$ (15,757)	\$ (15,757)	80.4%	91.1%	-10.6%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	\$ 2,422,914	36.3%	17.9%	18.4%	\$ (446,917)	\$ 433,450	\$ (31,734)	\$ (31,734)	63.7%	82.1%	-18.4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
9	\$ 3,392,677	5.7%	10.7%	-5.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	94.3%	89.3%	5.0%	\$ (169,410)	\$ 3,028,809	\$ (221,750)	\$ (169,410)
10	\$ 1,844,502	49.6%	39.4%	10.2%	\$ (188,043)	\$ 727,077	\$ (53,232)	\$ (53,232)	50.4%	60.6%	-10.2%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
11	\$ 3,853,440	26.7%	29.9%	-3.2%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	73.3%	70.1%	3.2%	\$ (123,008)	\$ 2,701,535	\$ (197,789)	\$ (123,008)
12	\$ 1,220,721	16.3%	29.1%	-12.8%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	83.7%	70.9%	12.8%	\$ (156,291)	\$ 865,695	\$ (63,381)	\$ (63,381)
13	\$ 1,840,221	1.9%	7.8%	-5.9%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	98.1%	92.2%	5.9%	\$ (108,609)	\$ 1,696,173	\$ (124,183)	\$ (108,609)
Ttl.	\$ 43,423,648	17.3%	18.2%	-0.9%	(1,140,998)	3,431,474	(251,230)	(251,230)	82.7%	81.8%	0.9%	(1,101,532)	\$ 19,164,830	(1,403,124)	(780,149)

Resource Funding Adjustment Limit:

2011 Housing Tax Credit Regional Allocation Formula

Table 9. Rural and Urban Area Funding Amount

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Rural Areas					Urban Areas				
		Area's Need %	Area's Need Based Funding Amount	Area's Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Funding Amount	Allocation % Rural	Area's Need %	Area's Need Based Funding Amount	Area's Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Funding Amount	Allocation % Urban
1	\$ 1,923,703	34.9%	671,932	\$ 91,646	\$ 763,579	39.7%	65.1%	\$ 1,251,771	\$ (91,646)	\$ 1,160,124	60.3%
2	\$ 1,188,198	45.5%	540,273	\$ (39,555)	\$ 500,717	42.1%	54.5%	\$ 647,925	\$ 39,555	\$ 687,480	57.9%
3	\$ 9,474,539	7.5%	707,728	\$ 161,569	\$ 869,296	9.2%	92.5%	\$ 8,766,811	\$ (161,569)	\$ 8,605,242	90.8%
4	\$ 1,950,829	56.2%	1,096,792	\$ 62,527	\$ 1,159,319	59.4%	43.8%	\$ 854,037	\$ (62,527)	\$ 791,510	40.6%
5	\$ 1,490,636	53.9%	803,504	\$ (58,827)	\$ 744,676	50.0%	46.1%	\$ 687,132	\$ 58,827	\$ 745,959	50.0%
6	\$ 10,410,306	6.8%	711,956	\$ (52,125)	\$ 659,831	6.3%	93.2%	\$ 9,698,349	\$ 52,125	\$ 9,750,474	93.7%
7	\$ 2,410,963	8.9%	215,214	\$ (15,757)	\$ 199,457	8.3%	91.1%	\$ 2,195,750	\$ 15,757	\$ 2,211,506	91.7%
8	\$ 2,422,914	17.9%	433,450	\$ (31,734)	\$ 401,716	16.6%	82.1%	\$ 1,989,464	\$ 31,734	\$ 2,021,198	83.4%
9	\$ 3,392,677	10.7%	363,868	\$ 169,410	\$ 533,278	15.7%	89.3%	\$ 3,028,809	\$ (169,410)	\$ 2,859,398	84.3%
10	\$ 1,844,502	39.4%	727,077	\$ (53,232)	\$ 673,845	36.5%	60.6%	\$ 1,117,425	\$ 53,232	\$ 1,170,657	63.5%
11	\$ 3,853,440	29.9%	1,151,906	\$ 123,008	\$ 1,274,914	33.1%	70.1%	\$ 2,701,535	\$ (123,008)	\$ 2,578,527	66.9%
12	\$ 1,220,721	29.1%	355,026	\$ 63,381	\$ 418,407	34.3%	70.9%	\$ 865,695	\$ (63,381)	\$ 802,315	65.7%
13	\$ 1,840,221	7.8%	144,047	\$ 108,609	\$ 252,656	13.7%	92.2%	\$ 1,696,173	\$ (108,609)	\$ 1,587,564	86.3%
Ttl.	\$ 43,423,648	18.2%	7,922,773	\$ 528,919	\$ 8,451,693	19.5%	81.8%	\$ 35,500,875	\$ (528,919)	\$ 34,971,956	80.5%

Rural Pct of State Allocation 17%

2011 Housing Tax Credit Regional Allocation Formula

Table 10. Rural and Urban Area Funding Amount Adjusted for Rural Minimum

Region	Regional Allocation		Adjusted for Minimum Regional Rural Amount				Adjusted for Minimum Statewide Rural Amount				
			Rural Areas		Urban Areas		Funds Reapplied to Rural	Rural Areas		Urban Areas	
	Region's Funding Amount	Regional Funding %	Area's Funding Amount	Allocation % Rural	Area's Funding Amount	Allocation % Urban		Area's Funding Amount	Allocation % Rural	Area's Funding Amount	Allocation % Urban
1	\$ 1,923,703	4.4%	\$ 763,579	39.7%	\$ 1,160,124	60.3%	\$ 45,979	\$ 809,558	42.1%	\$ 1,114,146	57.9%
2	\$ 1,188,198	2.7%	\$ 500,717	42.1%	\$ 687,480	57.9%	\$ 28,399	\$ 529,117	44.5%	\$ 659,081	55.5%
3	\$ 9,474,539	21.8%	\$ 869,296	9.2%	\$ 8,605,242	90.8%	\$ 226,452	\$ 1,095,748	11.6%	\$ 8,378,791	88.4%
4	\$ 1,950,829	4.5%	\$ 1,159,319	59.4%	\$ 791,510	40.6%	\$ 46,627	\$ 1,205,946	61.8%	\$ 744,883	38.2%
5	\$ 1,490,636	3.4%	\$ 744,676	50.0%	\$ 745,959	50.0%	\$ 35,628	\$ 780,304	52.3%	\$ 710,331	47.7%
6	\$ 10,410,306	24.0%	\$ 659,831	6.3%	\$ 9,750,474	93.7%	\$ 248,818	\$ 908,649	8.7%	\$ 9,501,657	91.3%
7	\$ 2,410,963	5.6%	\$ 500,000	20.7%	\$ 1,910,963	79.3%	\$ 57,625	\$ 557,625	23.1%	\$ 1,853,339	76.9%
8	\$ 2,422,914	5.6%	\$ 500,000	20.6%	\$ 1,922,914	79.4%	\$ 57,910	\$ 557,910	23.0%	\$ 1,865,004	77.0%
9	\$ 3,392,677	7.8%	\$ 533,278	15.7%	\$ 2,859,398	84.3%	\$ 81,089	\$ 614,367	18.1%	\$ 2,778,310	81.9%
10	\$ 1,844,502	4.2%	\$ 673,845	36.5%	\$ 1,170,657	63.5%	\$ 44,086	\$ 717,931	38.9%	\$ 1,126,571	61.1%
11	\$ 3,853,440	8.9%	\$ 1,274,914	33.1%	\$ 2,578,527	66.9%	\$ 92,101	\$ 1,367,015	35.5%	\$ 2,486,425	64.5%
12	\$ 1,220,721	2.8%	\$ 500,000	41.0%	\$ 720,721	59.0%	\$ 29,177	\$ 529,177	43.3%	\$ 691,545	56.7%
13	\$ 1,840,221	4.2%	\$ 500,000	27.2%	\$ 1,340,221	72.8%	\$ 43,983	\$ 543,983	29.6%	\$ 1,296,237	70.4%
Ttl.	\$ 43,423,648	100.0%	\$ 9,179,457	21.1%	\$ 34,244,191	78.9%	\$ 1,037,872	\$ 10,217,329	23.5%	\$ 33,206,319	76.5%

Rural Pct of State Allocation 18.0% Rural Pct of State Allocation (Readjust) 20.0%
 Difference Below Mandated 20% 2.0%
 Funds Reapplied to Rural \$ 1,037,872