

2011 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

Table 1. Regional, Rural, and Urban Funding Amounts

The table below shows the allocation of funds to the 13 State Service Regions and the

1	Lubbock	\$3,164,851	7.3%	\$3,164,599	100.0%	\$252	0.0%
2	Abilene	\$2,478,777	5.7%	\$2,424,197	97.8%	\$54,580	2.2%
3	Dallas/Fort Worth	\$5,600,697	12.8%	\$2,129,263	38.0%	\$3,471,434	62.0%
4	Tyler	\$5,203,196	11.9%	\$4,562,027	87.7%	\$641,169	12.3%
5	Beaumont	\$2,231,681	5.1%	\$1,864,495	83.5%	\$367,186	16.5%
6	Houston	\$4,943,386	11.3%	\$1,321,350	26.7%	\$3,622,036	73.3%
7	Austin/Round Rock	\$1,620,939	3.7%	\$547,907	33.8%	\$1,073,032	66.2%
8	Waco	\$2,250,827	5.2%	\$1,239,539	55.1%	\$1,011,288	44.9%
9	San Antonio	\$2,184,065	5.0%	\$1,439,496	65.9%	\$744,569	34.1%
10	Corpus Christi	\$3,683,307	8.4%	\$2,546,622	69.1%	\$1,136,686	30.9%
11	Brownsville/Harlingen	\$6,382,323	14.6%	\$3,087,832	48.4%	\$3,294,491	51.6%
12	San Angelo	\$2,827,920	6.5%	\$2,134,646	75.5%	\$693,274	24.5%
13	El Paso	\$1,021,857	2.3%	\$741,427	72.6%	\$280,430	27.4%
	Total	\$43,593,825	100.0%	\$27,203,399	62.4%	\$16,390,426	37.6%

2011 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

Table 2. Regional Measures of Affordable Housing Need

Total RAF Funding: **\$ 43,593,825**

Region	Poverty			Rental Cost Burden			Overcrowding			Substandard Housing			Combined Need Measures	
	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount		
	50%		\$ 21,796,913	36%		\$ 15,693,777	12%		\$ 5,231,259	2%		\$ 871,877		
	# of Persons	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Region's Need Based %
1	80,429	7%	\$ 1,489,129	27,922	6%	\$ 981,981	7,662	7%	\$ 345,512	1,183	4%	\$ 38,081	\$ 2,854,703	6.5%
2	64,211	5%	\$ 1,188,852	26,164	6%	\$ 920,180	3,825	3%	\$ 172,478	1,143	4%	\$ 36,800	\$ 2,318,310	5.3%
3	127,793	11%	\$ 2,366,075	88,211	20%	\$ 3,102,314	16,016	14%	\$ 722,281	2,879	11%	\$ 92,677	\$ 6,283,347	14.4%
4	140,121	12%	\$ 2,594,320	57,613	13%	\$ 2,026,205	9,712	8%	\$ 437,983	2,999	11%	\$ 96,543	\$ 5,155,051	11.8%
5	69,803	6%	\$ 1,292,389	27,107	6%	\$ 953,323	4,622	4%	\$ 208,439	1,539	6%	\$ 49,542	\$ 2,503,693	5.7%
6	114,422	10%	\$ 2,118,517	55,366	12%	\$ 1,947,167	14,803	13%	\$ 667,573	2,394	9%	\$ 77,048	\$ 4,810,306	11.0%
7	36,283	3%	\$ 671,777	25,702	6%	\$ 903,909	4,566	4%	\$ 205,932	1,146	4%	\$ 36,891	\$ 1,818,510	4.2%
8	64,422	5%	\$ 1,192,760	29,191	7%	\$ 1,026,613	5,915	5%	\$ 266,748	1,213	4%	\$ 39,051	\$ 2,525,172	5.8%
9	54,697	5%	\$ 1,012,700	23,907	5%	\$ 840,795	5,402	5%	\$ 243,619	1,458	5%	\$ 46,932	\$ 2,144,047	4.9%
10	103,211	9%	\$ 1,910,944	30,497	7%	\$ 1,072,539	9,260	8%	\$ 417,608	2,147	8%	\$ 69,111	\$ 3,470,202	8.0%
11	209,286	18%	\$ 3,874,899	24,402	5%	\$ 858,209	23,311	20%	\$ 1,051,266	6,335	23%	\$ 203,912	\$ 5,988,286	13.7%
12	73,642	6%	\$ 1,363,468	24,435	5%	\$ 859,351	6,867	6%	\$ 309,673	1,345	5%	\$ 43,299	\$ 2,575,791	5.9%
13	38,946	3%	\$ 721,083	5,721	1%	\$ 201,190	4,039	3%	\$ 182,145	1,304	5%	\$ 41,989	\$ 1,146,407	2.6%
Ttl.	1,177,265	100%	\$ 21,796,913	446,236	100%	\$ 15,693,777	116,000	100%	\$ 5,231,259	27,086	100%	\$ 871,877	\$ 43,593,825	100%

2011 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

Table 3. Regional Distribution of Available Housing Resources

Region	HTF	HOPWA	PHA Capital	S8	USDA MF	USDA RA	HTC	MF Bond	HTC w/ Bond	USDA SF	SF Bond	HOME	Region's Resource Total	Region's Resource %
1	\$ -	\$ 55,000	\$ 1,569,357	\$ 1,871,941	\$ 1,406,295	\$ 149,163	\$ 4,664,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,023,365	\$ 13,480	\$ 2,187,120	\$ 13,939,721	2.4%
2	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,116,866	\$ 2,767,617	\$ -	\$ 500,166	\$ 7,600,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,147,786	\$ 132,287	\$ 3,465,280	\$ 20,730,002	3.6%
3	\$ 135,500	\$ 50,000	\$ 3,727,596	\$ 32,943,217	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 82,019	\$ 47,374,760	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9,596,577	\$ 9,281,621	\$ 13,894,912	\$ 118,286,202	20.7%
4	\$ 340,278	\$ 94,000	\$ 4,589,128	\$ 16,253,289	\$ 1,160,000	\$ 1,891,080	\$ 21,262,952	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,258,788	\$ 74,234	\$ 7,724,252	\$ 64,648,000	11.3%
5	\$ -	\$ 149,000	\$ 2,529,914	\$ 14,331,548	\$ -	\$ 73,175	\$ 22,491,528	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,637,693	\$ 16,435	\$ 6,279,055	\$ 48,508,348	8.5%
6	\$ 30,500	\$ 20,000	\$ 3,102,009	\$ 15,781,834	\$ -	\$ 428,997	\$ 8,799,072	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,567,364	\$ 16,645,151	\$ 8,651,327	\$ 55,026,254	9.6%
7	\$ 780,250	\$ 23,000	\$ 2,127,729	\$ 6,480,273	\$ 1,887,128	\$ 16,707	\$ 7,975,440	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,369,089	\$ 25,105,422	\$ 15,940,849	\$ 62,705,887	11.0%
8	\$ 13,867	\$ -	\$ 2,729,426	\$ 12,861,540	\$ -	\$ 75,897	\$ 16,092,720	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,113,390	\$ 961,024	\$ 3,692,133	\$ 38,539,996	6.7%
9	\$ 85,720	\$ -	\$ 1,278,658	\$ 5,216,090	\$ -	\$ 657,502	\$ 6,869,504	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,136,815	\$ 814,851	\$ 5,627,000	\$ 25,686,140	4.5%
10	\$ 99,667	\$ 79,000	\$ 4,056,155	\$ 10,328,012	\$ -	\$ 70,003	\$ 7,369,800	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,395,574	\$ -	\$ 6,260,161	\$ 32,658,372	5.7%
11	\$ 422,000	\$ 25,200	\$ 4,684,381	\$ 18,263,406	\$ -	\$ 15,342	\$ 10,542,904	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 13,893,626	\$ 269,970	\$ 6,659,020	\$ 54,775,848	9.6%
12	\$ 30,000	\$ 118,000	\$ 1,324,408	\$ 5,364,329	\$ -	\$ 41,908	\$ 8,616,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,160,441	\$ 92,424	\$ 846,811	\$ 18,594,321	3.3%
13	\$ 179,994	\$ -	\$ 405,133	\$ 3,678,535	\$ -	\$ 19,571	\$ 5,636,616	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,973,962	\$ 262,849	\$ 1,912,080	\$ 17,068,740	3.0%
Total	\$ 2,117,775	\$ 613,200	\$ 36,240,760	\$ 146,141,631	\$ 5,653,423	\$ 4,021,528	\$ 175,295,296	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 64,274,470	\$ 53,669,748	\$ 83,140,000	\$ 571,167,831	100%
% of Total Resources	0.4%	0.1%	6.3%	25.6%	1.0%	0.7%	30.7%	0.0%	0.0%	11.3%	9.4%	14.6%		

% of Resources not Regionally Allocated: 10.9%

2011 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

Table 4. Regional Available Housing Resources Funding Adjustment

Region	Difference between Resources and Need			Over Allocated Regional Resource Differences						Under Allocated Regional Resource Differences				
	Region's Resource %	Region's Need Based %	Resource Difference	Over Allocated Resource Differences	Over Allocation %	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment	Under Allocated Resource Differences	Under Allocation %	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment
1	2.4%	6.5%	-4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	-	2,854,703	-	-	-4%	-24%	371,176	\$ 310,147	\$ 310,147
2	3.6%	5.3%	-1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	-	2,318,310	-	-	-2%	-10%	152,576	\$ 251,871	\$ 160,467
3	20.7%	14.4%	6.3%	6.3%	36.7%	(2,744,732)	6,283,347	(682,650)	(682,650)	0%	0%	-	\$ -	\$ -
4	11.3%	11.8%	-0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	-	5,155,051	-	-	-1%	-3%	45,777	\$ 560,067	\$ 48,145
5	8.5%	5.7%	2.7%	2.7%	16.0%	(1,198,659)	2,503,693	(272,012)	(272,012)	0%	0%	-	\$ -	\$ -
6	9.6%	11.0%	-1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	-	4,810,306	-	-	-1%	-8%	126,536	\$ 522,612	\$ 133,080
7	11.0%	4.2%	6.8%	6.8%	39.7%	(2,967,456)	1,818,510	(197,571)	(197,571)	0%	0%	-	\$ -	\$ -
8	6.7%	5.8%	1.0%	1.0%	5.6%	(416,355)	2,525,172	(274,346)	(274,346)	0%	0%	-	\$ -	\$ -
9	4.5%	4.9%	-0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	-	2,144,047	-	-	0%	-2%	38,050	\$ 232,939	\$ 40,018
10	5.7%	8.0%	-2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	-	3,470,202	-	-	-2%	-13%	202,626	\$ 377,018	\$ 213,106
11	9.6%	13.7%	-4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	-	5,988,286	-	-	-4%	-24%	374,659	\$ 650,593	\$ 394,037
12	3.3%	5.9%	-2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	-	2,575,791	-	-	-3%	-15%	239,730	\$ 279,845	\$ 252,129
13	3.0%	2.6%	0.4%	0.4%	2.1%	(156,347)	1,146,407	(124,551)	(124,551)	0%	0%	-	\$ -	\$ -
Ttl.	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	17.2%	100.0%	(7,483,549)	43,593,825	(1,551,129)	(1,551,129)	-17%	-100%	1,551,129	\$ 4,736,222	\$ 1,551,129

State Resource Difference: 17.2%
 Total RAF Funding: 43,593,825
 State Over Allocated Resource Amount: (7,483,549)
 Regional Resource Funding Adjustment Limit: 10.9%

State Under Allocated Resource Amount



2011 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

Table 5. Regional Funding Amounts

Region	Place for Geographic Reference	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Region's Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Funding Amount	Region's Funding Percentage
1	Lubbock	\$ 2,854,703	\$ 310,147	\$ 3,164,851	7.3%
2	Abilene	\$ 2,318,310	\$ 160,467	\$ 2,478,777	5.7%
3	Dallas/Fort Worth	\$ 6,283,347	\$ (682,650)	\$ 5,600,697	12.8%
4	Tyler	\$ 5,155,051	\$ 48,145	\$ 5,203,196	11.9%
5	Beaumont	\$ 2,503,693	\$ (272,012)	\$ 2,231,681	5.1%
6	Houston	\$ 4,810,306	\$ 133,080	\$ 4,943,386	11.3%
7	Austin/Round Rock	\$ 1,818,510	\$ (197,571)	\$ 1,620,939	3.7%
8	Waco	\$ 2,525,172	\$ (274,346)	\$ 2,250,827	5.2%
9	San Antonio	\$ 2,144,047	\$ 40,018	\$ 2,184,065	5.0%
10	Corpus Christi	\$ 3,470,202	\$ 213,106	\$ 3,683,307	8.4%
11	Brownsville/Harlingen	\$ 5,988,286	\$ 394,037	\$ 6,382,323	14.6%
12	San Angelo	\$ 2,575,791	\$ 252,129	\$ 2,827,920	6.5%
13	El Paso	\$ 1,146,407	\$ (124,551)	\$ 1,021,857	2.3%
Ttl.		\$ 43,593,825	\$ -	\$ 43,593,825	100%

2011 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

Table 6. Measuring Affordable Housing Need in Rural and Urban/Exurban Places

Poverty Measure

Measure Weight: 50%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Persons	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Persons	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 3,164,851	\$ 1,582,425	40,174	100%	\$ 1,582,425	0	0%	\$ -
2	\$ 2,478,777	\$ 1,239,388	29,270	99%	\$ 1,224,100	70163739295	1%	\$ 15,288
3	\$ 5,600,697	\$ 2,800,348	48,869	42%	\$ 1,181,349	3926104547	58%	\$ 1,618,999
4	\$ 5,203,196	\$ 2,601,598	51,100	85%	\$ 2,206,265	48414239728	15%	\$ 395,333
5	\$ 2,231,681	\$ 1,115,840	32,344	94%	\$ 1,043,864	16384054531	6%	\$ 71,976
6	\$ 4,943,386	\$ 2,471,693	30,729	33%	\$ 814,307	2706049558	67%	\$ 1,657,386
7	\$ 1,620,939	\$ 810,469	15,068	41%	\$ 332,344	14501367553	59%	\$ 478,125
8	\$ 2,250,827	\$ 1,125,413	17,883	50%	\$ 568,159	14055963741	50%	\$ 557,255
9	\$ 2,184,065	\$ 1,092,033	25,910	78%	\$ 847,403	33267616977	22%	\$ 244,630
10	\$ 3,683,307	\$ 1,841,654	47,563	82%	\$ 1,518,393	19529294268	18%	\$ 323,261
11	\$ 6,382,323	\$ 3,191,162	124,062	55%	\$ 1,762,466	17619311891	45%	\$ 1,428,695
12	\$ 2,827,920	\$ 1,413,960	21,057	61%	\$ 866,627	10694341421	39%	\$ 547,333
13	\$ 1,021,857	\$ 510,928	17,225	47%	\$ 238,902	17462384312	53%	\$ 272,027
Ttl.	\$ 43,593,825	\$ 21,796,913	501,254	100%	\$ 14,186,605	-	0%	\$ 7,610,308

Cost Burden Measure

Measure Weight: 36%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 3,164,851	\$ 1,139,346	13,153	100%	\$ 1,139,094	09090909091	0%	\$ 252
2	\$ 2,478,777	\$ 892,360	11,158	99%	\$ 880,582	41617761415	1%	\$ 11,778
3	\$ 5,600,697	\$ 2,016,251	25,780	30%	\$ 613,812	3714834785	70%	\$ 1,402,438
4	\$ 5,203,196	\$ 1,873,150	21,047	86%	\$ 1,609,163	81694508678	14%	\$ 263,987
5	\$ 2,231,681	\$ 803,405	12,675	89%	\$ 712,989	34803807878	11%	\$ 90,416
6	\$ 4,943,386	\$ 1,779,619	12,711	27%	\$ 482,478	17114230365	73%	\$ 1,297,141
7	\$ 1,620,939	\$ 583,538	9,359	30%	\$ 177,058	17468499161	70%	\$ 406,480
8	\$ 2,250,827	\$ 810,298	6,942	44%	\$ 355,996	26388465711	56%	\$ 454,301
9	\$ 2,184,065	\$ 786,263	10,506	68%	\$ 531,037	47070203317	32%	\$ 255,226
10	\$ 3,683,307	\$ 1,325,991	11,687	70%	\$ 928,217	43615241901	30%	\$ 397,774
11	\$ 6,382,323	\$ 2,297,636	13,727	53%	\$ 1,211,319	10707389612	47%	\$ 1,086,317
12	\$ 2,827,920	\$ 1,018,051	5,951	51%	\$ 521,791	16432022164	49%	\$ 496,260
13	\$ 1,021,857	\$ 367,868	2,972	54%	\$ 199,548	32245563507	46%	\$ 168,320
Ttl.	\$ 43,593,825	\$ 15,693,777	157,669	100%	\$ 9,363,086	-	0%	\$ 6,330,691

2011 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

Poverty Measure

Measure Weight: 50%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Persons	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Persons	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
--------	-------------------------	------------------------	--------------------	-----------------	------------------------------	--------------------	----------------	-----------------------

Over Crowding Measure

Measure Weight: 12%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 3,164,851	\$ 379,782	4,075	100%	\$ 379,782	0	0%	\$ -
2	\$ 2,478,777	\$ 297,453	1,720	99%	\$ 295,045	86624869383	1%	\$ 2,408
3	\$ 5,600,697	\$ 672,084	5,214	32%	\$ 214,328	15007193822	68%	\$ 457,756
4	\$ 5,203,196	\$ 624,383	3,705	87%	\$ 546,291	10632186086	13%	\$ 78,092
5	\$ 2,231,681	\$ 267,802	2,181	93%	\$ 250,050	97466469558	7%	\$ 17,751
6	\$ 4,943,386	\$ 593,206	3,331	26%	\$ 153,460	77895707938	74%	\$ 439,746
7	\$ 1,620,939	\$ 194,513	2,115	44%	\$ 85,311	82195789585	56%	\$ 109,202
8	\$ 2,250,827	\$ 270,099	1,551	46%	\$ 123,764	05936277872	54%	\$ 146,335
9	\$ 2,184,065	\$ 262,088	2,517	77%	\$ 202,268	53149777534	23%	\$ 59,820
10	\$ 3,683,307	\$ 441,997	4,012	80%	\$ 352,136	70834408564	20%	\$ 89,861
11	\$ 6,382,323	\$ 765,879	13,625	53%	\$ 408,128	19175342184	47%	\$ 357,751
12	\$ 2,827,920	\$ 339,350	1,835	57%	\$ 192,326	56085699246	43%	\$ 147,025
13	\$ 1,021,857	\$ 122,623	1,752	45%	\$ 55,504	15461957298	55%	\$ 67,119
Ttl.	\$ 43,593,825	\$ 5,231,259	47,633	100%	\$ 3,258,393	-	0%	\$ 1,972,866

Substandard Measure

Measure Weight: 2%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 3,164,851	\$ 63,297	522	100%	\$ 63,297	0	0%	\$ -
2	\$ 2,478,777	\$ 49,576	443	98%	\$ 48,564	89942528736	2%	\$ 1,012
3	\$ 5,600,697	\$ 112,014	1,090	46%	\$ 51,736	04381407895	54%	\$ 60,278
4	\$ 5,203,196	\$ 104,064	832	89%	\$ 92,493	13824040029	11%	\$ 11,570
5	\$ 2,231,681	\$ 44,634	458	91%	\$ 40,806	94449545931	9%	\$ 3,827
6	\$ 4,943,386	\$ 98,868	570	33%	\$ 32,159	93871355984	67%	\$ 66,709
7	\$ 1,620,939	\$ 32,419	464	62%	\$ 19,976	73470602051	38%	\$ 12,442
8	\$ 2,250,827	\$ 45,017	275	54%	\$ 24,269	89990924381	46%	\$ 20,748
9	\$ 2,184,065	\$ 43,681	502	78%	\$ 34,244	61877787043	22%	\$ 9,438
10	\$ 3,683,307	\$ 73,666	792	79%	\$ 58,276	56316762883	21%	\$ 15,390
11	\$ 6,382,323	\$ 127,646	4,120	64%	\$ 82,285	06256681011	36%	\$ 45,362
12	\$ 2,827,920	\$ 56,558	281	53%	\$ 30,091	16280758067	47%	\$ 26,468
13	\$ 1,021,857	\$ 20,437	498	48%	\$ 9,709	27418389975	52%	\$ 10,728
Ttl.	\$ 43,593,825	\$ 871,877	10,848	100%	\$ 587,905	-	0%	\$ 283,972

2011 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

Poverty Measure

Measure Weight:

50%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Persons	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Persons	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
--------	-------------------------	------------------------	--------------------	-----------------	------------------------------	--------------------	----------------	-----------------------

2011 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

Poverty Measure

Measure Weight:

50%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Persons	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Persons	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
--------	-------------------------	------------------------	--------------------	-----------------	------------------------------	--------------------	----------------	-----------------------

Overall Measure of Affordable Housing Need

Region	Region's Funding Amount				Rural Need Based Funding Amount	Rural Need Based %		Urban Need Based Funding Amount	Urban Need Based %
1	\$ 3,164,851				\$ 3,164,599	100.0%		\$ 252	0.0%
2	\$ 2,478,777				\$ 2,448,291	98.8%		\$ 30,486	1.2%
3	\$ 5,600,697				\$ 2,061,226	36.8%		\$ 3,539,471	63.2%
4	\$ 5,203,196				\$ 4,454,213	85.6%		\$ 748,983	14.4%
5	\$ 2,231,681				\$ 2,047,710	91.8%		\$ 183,971	8.2%
6	\$ 4,943,386				\$ 1,482,405	30.0%		\$ 3,460,982	70.0%
7	\$ 1,620,939				\$ 614,689	37.9%		\$ 1,006,250	62.1%
8	\$ 2,250,827				\$ 1,072,188	47.6%		\$ 1,178,639	52.4%
9	\$ 2,184,065				\$ 1,614,951	73.9%		\$ 569,114	26.1%
10	\$ 3,683,307				\$ 2,857,021	77.6%		\$ 826,286	22.4%
11	\$ 6,382,323				\$ 3,464,198	54.3%		\$ 2,918,125	45.7%
12	\$ 2,827,920				\$ 1,610,835	57.0%		\$ 1,217,085	43.0%
13	\$ 1,021,857				\$ 503,663	49.3%		\$ 518,193	50.7%
Ttl.	\$ 43,593,825				\$ 27,395,989	62.8%		\$ 16,197,836	37.2%

2011 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

Table 7. Measuring Available Housing Resources in Rural and Urban Places

Region	Reg All Res Rur	Reg All Res Urb	Reg All Res	Rural Resource %	Urban Resource %
1	\$ 13,883,887	\$ 55,834	\$ 13,939,721	99.6%	0.4%
2	\$ 20,676,546	\$ 53,456	\$ 20,730,002	99.7%	0.3%
3	\$ 42,095,974	\$ 76,190,228	\$ 118,286,202	35.6%	64.4%
4	\$ 45,519,434	\$ 19,128,566	\$ 64,648,000	70.4%	29.6%
5	\$ 48,491,913	\$ 16,435	\$ 48,508,348	100.0%	0.0%
6	\$ 20,972,504	\$ 34,053,749	\$ 55,026,254	38.1%	61.9%
7	\$ 28,890,994	\$ 33,814,894	\$ 62,705,887	46.1%	53.9%
8	\$ 15,493,158	\$ 23,046,838	\$ 38,539,996	40.2%	59.8%
9	\$ 21,406,884	\$ 4,279,256	\$ 25,686,140	83.3%	16.7%
10	\$ 29,616,304	\$ 3,042,068	\$ 32,658,372	90.7%	9.3%
11	\$ 36,141,560	\$ 18,634,289	\$ 54,775,848	66.0%	34.0%
12	\$ 6,077,471	\$ 12,516,850	\$ 18,594,321	32.7%	67.3%
13	\$ 4,441,498	\$ 12,627,242	\$ 17,068,740	26.0%	74.0%
Ttl.	\$ 333,708,127	\$ 237,459,704	\$ 571,167,831	58.4%	41.6%

2011 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

Table 8. Rural and Urban Resource Adjustment

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Rural Areas							Urban Areas						
		Area's Resource %	Area's Need %	Area's Resource Difference	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Resource %	Area's Need %	Area's Resource Difference	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment
1	\$ 3,164,851	99.6%	100.0%	-0.4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	\$ (12,424)	\$ 252	\$ (0)	\$ (0)
2	\$ 2,478,777	99.7%	98.8%	1.0%	\$ (24,094)	\$ 2,448,291	\$ (265,993)	\$ (24,094)	0.3%	1.2%	-1.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	\$ 5,600,697	35.6%	36.8%	-1.2%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	64.4%	63.2%	1.2%	\$ (68,037)	\$ 3,539,471	\$ (2,236,838)	\$ (68,037)
4	\$ 5,203,196	70.4%	85.6%	-15.2%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	29.6%	14.4%	15.2%	\$ (790,581)	\$ 748,983	\$ (107,814)	\$ (107,814)
5	\$ 2,231,681	100.0%	91.8%	8.2%	\$ (183,215)	\$ 2,047,710	\$ (222,472)	\$ (183,215)	0.0%	8.2%	-8.2%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
6	\$ 4,943,386	38.1%	30.0%	8.1%	\$ (401,699)	\$ 1,482,405	\$ (161,055)	\$ (161,055)	61.9%	70.0%	-8.1%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
7	\$ 1,620,939	46.1%	37.9%	8.2%	\$ (132,139)	\$ 614,689	\$ (66,783)	\$ (66,783)	53.9%	62.1%	-8.2%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	\$ 2,250,827	40.2%	47.6%	-7.4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	59.8%	52.4%	7.4%	\$ (167,351)	\$ 1,178,639	\$ (617,191)	\$ (167,351)
9	\$ 2,184,065	83.3%	73.9%	9.4%	\$ (205,253)	\$ 1,614,951	\$ (175,455)	\$ (175,455)	16.7%	26.1%	-9.4%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
10	\$ 3,683,307	90.7%	77.6%	13.1%	\$ (483,193)	\$ 2,857,021	\$ (310,399)	\$ (310,399)	9.3%	22.4%	-13.1%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
11	\$ 6,382,323	66.0%	54.3%	11.7%	\$ (746,912)	\$ 3,464,198	\$ (376,365)	\$ (376,365)	34.0%	45.7%	-11.7%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
12	\$ 2,827,920	32.7%	57.0%	-24.3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	67.3%	43.0%	24.3%	\$ (686,542)	\$ 1,217,085	\$ (523,811)	\$ (523,811)
13	\$ 1,021,857	26.0%	49.3%	-23.3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	74.0%	50.7%	23.3%	\$ (237,764)	\$ 518,193	\$ (262,781)	\$ (237,764)
Ttl.	\$ 43,593,825	58.4%	62.8%	-4.4%	(2,176,506)	14,529,265	(1,578,522)	(1,297,366)	41.6%	37.2%	4.4%	(1,962,698)	\$ 7,202,623	\$ (3,748,435)	(1,104,776)

Resource Funding Adjustment Limit:

2011 HOME Regional Allocation Formula

Table 9. Rural and Urban Area Funding Amount

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Rural Areas					Urban Areas				
		Area's Need %	Area's Need Based Funding Amount	Area's Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Funding Amount	Allocation % Rural	Area's Need %	Area's Need Based Funding Amount	Area's Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Funding Amount	Allocation % Urban
1	\$ 3,164,851	100.0%	3,164,599	\$ 0	\$ 3,164,599	100.0%	0.0%	\$ 252	\$ (0)	\$ 252	0.0%
2	\$ 2,478,777	98.8%	2,448,291	\$ (24,094)	\$ 2,424,197	97.8%	1.2%	\$ 30,486	\$ 24,094	\$ 54,580	2.2%
3	\$ 5,600,697	36.8%	2,061,226	\$ 68,037	\$ 2,129,263	38.0%	63.2%	\$ 3,539,471	\$ (68,037)	\$ 3,471,434	62.0%
4	\$ 5,203,196	85.6%	4,454,213	\$ 107,814	\$ 4,562,027	87.7%	14.4%	\$ 748,983	\$ (107,814)	\$ 641,169	12.3%
5	\$ 2,231,681	91.8%	2,047,710	\$ (183,215)	\$ 1,864,495	83.5%	8.2%	\$ 183,971	\$ 183,215	\$ 367,186	16.5%
6	\$ 4,943,386	30.0%	1,482,405	\$ (161,055)	\$ 1,321,350	26.7%	70.0%	\$ 3,460,982	\$ 161,055	\$ 3,622,036	73.3%
7	\$ 1,620,939	37.9%	614,689	\$ (66,783)	\$ 547,907	33.8%	62.1%	\$ 1,006,250	\$ 66,783	\$ 1,073,032	66.2%
8	\$ 2,250,827	47.6%	1,072,188	\$ 167,351	\$ 1,239,539	55.1%	52.4%	\$ 1,178,639	\$ (167,351)	\$ 1,011,288	44.9%
9	\$ 2,184,065	73.9%	1,614,951	\$ (175,455)	\$ 1,439,496	65.9%	26.1%	\$ 569,114	\$ 175,455	\$ 744,569	34.1%
10	\$ 3,683,307	77.6%	2,857,021	\$ (310,399)	\$ 2,546,622	69.1%	22.4%	\$ 826,286	\$ 310,399	\$ 1,136,686	30.9%
11	\$ 6,382,323	54.3%	3,464,198	\$ (376,365)	\$ 3,087,832	48.4%	45.7%	\$ 2,918,125	\$ 376,365	\$ 3,294,491	51.6%
12	\$ 2,827,920	57.0%	1,610,835	\$ 523,811	\$ 2,134,646	75.5%	43.0%	\$ 1,217,085	\$ (523,811)	\$ 693,274	24.5%
13	\$ 1,021,857	49.3%	503,663	\$ 237,764	\$ 741,427	72.6%	50.7%	\$ 518,193	\$ (237,764)	\$ 280,430	27.4%
Ttl.	\$ 43,593,825	62.8%	27,395,989	\$ (192,590)	\$ 27,203,399	62.4%	37.2%	\$ 16,197,836	\$ 192,590	\$ 16,390,426	37.6%