

2010 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 1. Regional, Rural, and Urban Funding Amounts

Region	Place for Geographical Reference	Regional Funding Amount	Regional Funding %	Rural Funding Amount	Rural Funding %	Urban Funding Amount	Urban Funding %
1	Lubbock	\$71,030	3.6%	\$29,326	41.3%	\$41,704	58.7%
2	Abilene	\$35,931	1.8%	\$15,885	44.2%	\$20,046	55.8%
3	Dallas/Fort Worth	\$461,861	23.1%	\$37,520	8.1%	\$424,342	91.9%
4	Tyler	\$89,108	4.5%	\$46,785	52.5%	\$42,323	47.5%
5	Beaumont	\$56,294	2.8%	\$33,468	59.5%	\$22,826	40.5%
6	Houston	\$396,973	19.8%	\$28,994	7.3%	\$367,979	92.7%
7	Austin/Round Rock	\$116,569	5.8%	\$11,911	10.2%	\$104,657	89.8%
8	Waco	\$89,670	4.5%	\$17,955	20.0%	\$71,714	80.0%
9	San Antonio	\$172,680	8.6%	\$19,400	11.2%	\$153,280	88.8%
10	Corpus Christi	\$70,755	3.5%	\$26,031	36.8%	\$44,724	63.2%
11	Brownsville/Harlingen	\$298,047	14.9%	\$106,836	35.8%	\$191,211	64.2%
12	San Angelo	\$47,507	2.4%	\$19,195	40.4%	\$28,312	59.6%
13	El Paso	\$93,577	4.7%	\$14,600	15.6%	\$78,976	84.4%
	Total	\$2,000,000	100.0%	\$407,905	20.4%	\$1,592,095	79.6%

2010 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 2. Regional Measures of Affordable Housing Need

Total RAF Funding: **\$ 2,000,000**

Region	Poverty			Rental Cost Burden			Overcrowding			Substandard Housing			Combined Need Measures	
	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount	Measure Weight		Measure Funding Amount		
	50%		\$ 1,000,000	36%		\$ 720,000	12%		\$ 240,000	2%		\$ 40,000		
	# of Persons	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	# of Households	Region's Measure %	Region's Measure Funding Amount	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Region's Need Based %
1	111,390	4%	\$ 35,482	46,434	4%	\$ 26,249	10,129	2%	\$ 5,486	1,606	3%	\$ 1,091	\$ 68,308	3.4%
2	66,595	2%	\$ 21,213	28,904	2%	\$ 16,339	4,186	1%	\$ 2,267	1,114	2%	\$ 756	\$ 40,576	2.0%
3	597,641	19%	\$ 190,372	352,544	28%	\$ 199,290	114,152	26%	\$ 61,831	10,857	18%	\$ 7,373	\$ 458,866	22.9%
4	140,760	4%	\$ 44,838	59,830	5%	\$ 33,821	10,302	2%	\$ 5,580	2,981	5%	\$ 2,024	\$ 86,264	4.3%
5	107,638	3%	\$ 34,287	42,007	3%	\$ 23,746	7,660	2%	\$ 4,149	2,045	3%	\$ 1,389	\$ 63,571	3.2%
6	664,792	21%	\$ 211,763	285,161	22%	\$ 161,199	125,032	28%	\$ 67,724	11,199	19%	\$ 7,605	\$ 448,291	22.4%
7	159,707	5%	\$ 50,873	114,292	9%	\$ 64,608	25,044	6%	\$ 13,565	3,816	6%	\$ 2,591	\$ 131,638	6.6%
8	140,291	4%	\$ 44,688	63,586	5%	\$ 35,945	11,899	3%	\$ 6,445	2,278	4%	\$ 1,547	\$ 88,625	4.4%
9	270,157	9%	\$ 86,056	108,344	9%	\$ 61,246	34,577	8%	\$ 18,729	4,409	7%	\$ 2,994	\$ 169,025	8.5%
10	119,603	4%	\$ 38,098	39,000	3%	\$ 22,047	11,552	3%	\$ 6,257	2,179	4%	\$ 1,480	\$ 67,882	3.4%
11	520,737	17%	\$ 165,875	67,171	5%	\$ 37,971	63,485	14%	\$ 34,387	12,453	21%	\$ 8,457	\$ 246,691	12.3%
12	72,054	2%	\$ 22,952	25,585	2%	\$ 14,463	6,705	2%	\$ 3,632	1,326	2%	\$ 900	\$ 41,947	2.1%
13	167,963	5%	\$ 53,503	40,821	3%	\$ 23,076	18,364	4%	\$ 9,947	2,639	4%	\$ 1,792	\$ 88,318	4.4%
Ttl.	3,139,327	100%	\$ 1,000,000	1,273,677	100%	\$ 720,000	443,088	100%	\$ 240,000	58,902	100%	\$ 40,000	\$ 2,000,000	100%

2010 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 3. Regional Distribution of Available Housing Resources

Region	HTF	HOPWA	PHA Capital	S8	USDA MF	USDA RA	HTC	MF Bond	HTC w/ Bond	USDA SF	SF Bond	HOME	Region's Resource Total	Region's Resource %
1	\$ -	\$ 243,500	\$ 2,184,738	\$ 19,858,255	\$ 2,686,430	\$ 1,085,268	\$ 29,596,936	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 163,652	\$ 1,320,290	\$ 4,146,374	\$ 61,285,443	3.1%
2	\$ 280,000	\$ 466,000	\$ 5,281,063	\$ 7,813,196	\$ -	\$ 3,794,096	\$ 23,554,480	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,511,150	\$ 950,345	\$ 1,426,773	\$ 45,077,104	2.3%
3	\$ 1,934,750	\$ 2,298,183	\$ 12,741,337	\$ 265,801,126	\$ -	\$ 1,151,464	\$ 117,367,592	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,744,314	\$ 22,977,607	\$ 21,021,539	\$ 449,037,912	22.6%
4	\$ 96,000	\$ 570,000	\$ 4,407,021	\$ 23,984,806	\$ 1,556,500	\$ 15,984,579	\$ 17,037,072	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,883,724	\$ 501,669	\$ 10,820,993	\$ 78,842,363	4.0%
5	\$ 430,000	\$ 272,000	\$ 4,469,129	\$ 38,137,742	\$ -	\$ 684,441	\$ 29,336,360	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 886,741	\$ 6,149,821	\$ 4,165,226	\$ 84,531,460	4.2%
6	\$ 30,000	\$ 4,000,649	\$ 9,544,615	\$ 137,845,673	\$ 2,279,000	\$ 3,206,354	\$ 273,919,576	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,044,666	\$ 82,943,483	\$ 22,849,483	\$ 541,663,499	27.2%
7	\$ 1,335,000	\$ 715,754	\$ 5,563,167	\$ 53,960,207	\$ 3,699,700	\$ 218,941	\$ 55,672,512	\$ 10,230,000	\$ 8,269,640	\$ 3,615,198	\$ 41,617,539	\$ 15,480,918	\$ 200,378,576	10.1%
8	\$ 273,500	\$ 180,000	\$ 5,467,205	\$ 33,868,662	\$ -	\$ 1,551,152	\$ 30,910,488	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 811,412	\$ 3,676,731	\$ 8,847,197	\$ 85,586,348	4.3%
9	\$ 1,518,048	\$ 1,152,518	\$ 12,099,950	\$ 89,055,923	\$ -	\$ 4,654,607	\$ 35,542,848	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,780,820	\$ 6,864,752	\$ 5,502,134	\$ 159,171,600	8.0%
10	\$ 603,500	\$ 429,800	\$ 6,678,822	\$ 17,853,065	\$ -	\$ 391,215	\$ 26,229,088	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 884,416	\$ 1,555,159	\$ 5,865,588	\$ 60,490,653	3.0%
11	\$ 1,509,975	\$ 108,900	\$ 10,982,163	\$ 53,447,384	\$ -	\$ 164,228	\$ 26,176,096	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,126,976	\$ 5,048,448	\$ 12,306,422	\$ 119,870,592	6.0%
12	\$ 29,500	\$ 170,000	\$ 1,690,808	\$ 12,240,448	\$ 1,100,700	\$ 360,639	\$ 10,016,392	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 561,476	\$ 184,747	\$ 1,777,535	\$ 28,132,245	1.4%
13	\$ 471,500	\$ 534,900	\$ 10,698,660	\$ 30,002,381	\$ 2,535,655	\$ 280,363	\$ 22,382,728	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,786,240	\$ 1,893,532	\$ 3,399,548	\$ 74,985,507	3.8%
Total	\$ 8,511,773	\$ 11,142,204	\$ 91,808,678	\$ 783,868,868	\$ 13,857,985	\$ 33,527,347	\$ 697,742,168	\$ 10,230,000	\$ 8,269,640	\$ 36,800,786	\$ 175,684,123	\$ 117,609,730	\$ 1,989,053,302	100%
% of Total Resources	0.4%	0.6%	4.6%	39.4%	0.7%	1.7%	35.1%	0.5%	0.4%	1.9%	8.8%	5.9%		

% of Resources not Regionally Allocated:

11.4%

2010 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 4. Regional Available Housing Resources Funding Adjustment

Region	Difference between Resources and Need			Over Allocated Regional Resource Differences						Under Allocated Regional Resource Differences		
	Region's Resource %	Region's Need Based %	Resource Difference	Over Allocated Resource Differences	Over Allocation %	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment	Under Allocated Resource Differences	Under Allocation %	Base Resource Funding Adjustment
1	3.1%	3.4%	-0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	-	68,308	-	-	-0.3%	-3.5%	\$ 2,721
2	2.3%	2.0%	0.2%	0.2%	2.5%	(4,749)	40,576	(4,645)	(4,645)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
3	22.6%	22.9%	-0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	-	458,866	-	-	-0.4%	-3.8%	\$ 2,995
4	4.0%	4.3%	-0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	-	86,264	-	-	-0.3%	-3.6%	\$ 2,844
5	4.2%	3.2%	1.1%	1.1%	11.1%	(21,425)	63,571	(7,277)	(7,277)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
6	27.2%	22.4%	4.8%	4.8%	50.1%	(96,354)	448,291	(51,318)	(51,318)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
7	10.1%	6.6%	3.5%	3.5%	36.3%	(69,843)	131,638	(15,069)	(15,069)	0.0%	0.0%	\$ -
8	4.3%	4.4%	-0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	-	88,625	-	-	-0.1%	-1.3%	\$ 1,045
9	8.0%	8.5%	-0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	-	169,025	-	-	-0.4%	-4.7%	\$ 3,654
10	3.0%	3.4%	-0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	-	67,882	-	-	-0.4%	-3.7%	\$ 2,873
11	6.0%	12.3%	-6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	-	246,691	-	-	-6.3%	-65.6%	\$ 51,356
12	1.4%	2.1%	-0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	-	41,947	-	-	-0.7%	-7.1%	\$ 5,560
13	3.8%	4.4%	-0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	-	88,318	-	-	-0.6%	-6.7%	\$ 5,259
Ttl.	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	9.6%	100.0%	(192,372)	2,000,000	(78,309)	(78,309)	-9.6%	-100.0%	\$ 78,309

State Resource Difference: 9.6%
 Total RAF Funding: 2,000,000
 State Over Allocated Resource Amount: (192,372)
 Regional Resource Funding Adjustment Limit: 11.4%

State Under Allocated Resource Amount

2010 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 5. Regional Funding Amounts

Region	Place for Geographic Reference	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Region's Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Funding Amount	Region's Funding Percentage
1	Lubbock	\$ 68,308	\$ 2,721	\$ 71,030	3.6%
2	Abilene	\$ 40,576	\$ (4,645)	\$ 35,931	1.8%
3	Dallas/Fort Worth	\$ 458,866	\$ 2,995	\$ 461,861	23.1%
4	Tyler	\$ 86,264	\$ 2,844	\$ 89,108	4.5%
5	Beaumont	\$ 63,571	\$ (7,277)	\$ 56,294	2.8%
6	Houston	\$ 448,291	\$ (51,318)	\$ 396,973	19.8%
7	Austin/Round Rock	\$ 131,638	\$ (15,069)	\$ 116,569	5.8%
8	Waco	\$ 88,625	\$ 1,045	\$ 89,670	4.5%
9	San Antonio	\$ 169,025	\$ 3,654	\$ 172,680	8.6%
10	Corpus Christi	\$ 67,882	\$ 2,873	\$ 70,755	3.5%
11	Brownsville/Harlingen	\$ 246,691	\$ 51,356	\$ 298,047	14.9%
12	San Angelo	\$ 41,947	\$ 5,560	\$ 47,507	2.4%
13	El Paso	\$ 88,318	\$ 5,259	\$ 93,577	4.7%
Ttl.		\$ 2,000,000	\$ -	\$ 2,000,000	100%

2010 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 6. Measuring Affordable Housing Need in Rural and Urban/Exurban Places

Poverty Measure

Measure Weight: 50%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Persons	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Persons	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 71,030	\$ 35,515	41,503	42%	\$ 15,007	5.4108845679	58%	\$ 20,508
2	\$ 35,931	\$ 17,965	30,027	53%	\$ 9,454	3.7260104011	47%	\$ 8,512
3	\$ 461,861	\$ 230,931	52,069	9%	\$ 21,454	95.489353834	91%	\$ 209,477
4	\$ 89,108	\$ 44,554	51,734	61%	\$ 26,984	6.2196611659	39%	\$ 17,570
5	\$ 56,294	\$ 28,147	39,783	54%	\$ 15,197	9.0081667449	46%	\$ 12,950
6	\$ 396,973	\$ 198,487	45,883	8%	\$ 16,846	20.882392375	92%	\$ 181,640
7	\$ 116,569	\$ 58,284	16,309	12%	\$ 7,079	78.828917297	88%	\$ 51,206
8	\$ 89,670	\$ 44,835	26,789	22%	\$ 9,986	2.6145655257	78%	\$ 34,849
9	\$ 172,680	\$ 86,340	28,919	12%	\$ 10,570	09.145589158	88%	\$ 75,770
10	\$ 70,755	\$ 35,377	47,984	47%	\$ 16,492	46.120323748	53%	\$ 18,885
11	\$ 298,047	\$ 149,023	153,405	35%	\$ 51,684	14.347721017	65%	\$ 97,339
12	\$ 47,507	\$ 23,754	21,395	35%	\$ 8,359	99.903490231	65%	\$ 15,394
13	\$ 93,577	\$ 46,788	17,189	11%	\$ 5,016	38.096223965	89%	\$ 41,772
Ttl.	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	572,989	100%	\$ 214,128	0	0%	\$ 785,872

Cost Burden Measure

Measure Weight: 36%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 71,030	\$ 25,571	13,489	32%	\$ 8,226	3.2030785704	68%	\$ 17,345
2	\$ 35,931	\$ 12,935	11,471	47%	\$ 6,019	2.4579329625	53%	\$ 6,916
3	\$ 461,861	\$ 166,270	28,145	9%	\$ 14,341	61.130925341	91%	\$ 151,929
4	\$ 89,108	\$ 32,079	21,362	58%	\$ 18,653	5.9764861419	42%	\$ 13,426
5	\$ 56,294	\$ 20,266	15,795	55%	\$ 11,082	8.6372688384	45%	\$ 9,184
6	\$ 396,973	\$ 142,910	18,730	9%	\$ 12,277	07.255865867	91%	\$ 130,634
7	\$ 116,569	\$ 41,965	10,212	10%	\$ 4,355	7.1394880501	90%	\$ 37,610
8	\$ 89,670	\$ 32,281	10,180	19%	\$ 6,068	8.6307382814	81%	\$ 26,214
9	\$ 172,680	\$ 62,165	12,002	13%	\$ 7,903	7.1385828286	87%	\$ 54,262
10	\$ 70,755	\$ 25,472	11,751	34%	\$ 8,743	2.3089608315	66%	\$ 16,728
11	\$ 298,047	\$ 107,297	16,949	28%	\$ 30,398	6.4693458365	72%	\$ 76,899
12	\$ 47,507	\$ 17,103	6,016	27%	\$ 4,645	34.259236727	73%	\$ 12,458
13	\$ 93,577	\$ 33,688	2,937	7%	\$ 2,521	4.7880546267	93%	\$ 31,166
Ttl.	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 720,000	179,038	100%	\$ 135,231	0	0%	\$ 584,769

2010 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Over Crowding Measure

Measure Weight: 12%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 71,030	\$ 8,524	4,212	47%	\$ 3,968	47176797885	53%	\$ 4,555
2	\$ 35,931	\$ 4,312	1,763	48%	\$ 2,087	13299949018	52%	\$ 2,225
3	\$ 461,861	\$ 55,423	5,811	5%	\$ 2,946	95.498560005	95%	\$ 52,477
4	\$ 89,108	\$ 10,693	3,748	58%	\$ 6,169	8.7049000793	42%	\$ 4,524
5	\$ 56,294	\$ 6,755	2,673	50%	\$ 3,375	107010951818	50%	\$ 3,380
6	\$ 396,973	\$ 47,637	6,148	6%	\$ 2,806	3.1473722887	94%	\$ 44,831
7	\$ 116,569	\$ 13,988	2,440	11%	\$ 1,607	7.7058797905	89%	\$ 12,381
8	\$ 89,670	\$ 10,760	2,274	22%	\$ 2,413	99233126776	78%	\$ 8,347
9	\$ 172,680	\$ 20,722	2,879	9%	\$ 1,935	50.581843053	91%	\$ 18,786
10	\$ 70,755	\$ 8,491	4,064	41%	\$ 3,440	90351376184	59%	\$ 5,050
11	\$ 298,047	\$ 35,766	17,069	31%	\$ 11,250	3.4932092394	69%	\$ 24,515
12	\$ 47,507	\$ 5,701	1,873	33%	\$ 1,877	58856143118	67%	\$ 3,824
13	\$ 93,577	\$ 11,229	1,749	10%	\$ 1,119	4.1590125589	90%	\$ 10,110
Ttl.	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 240,000	56,704	100%	\$ 44,994	0	0%	\$ 195,006

Substandard Measure

Measure Weight: 2%

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Measure Funding Amount	# of Rural Households	Measure Rural %	Rural Measure Funding Amount	# of Urban Households	Measure Urb. %	Urban Measure Funding
1	\$ 71,030	\$ 1,421	543	40%	\$ 561	34266180733	60%	\$ 859
2	\$ 35,931	\$ 719	456	53%	\$ 379	09762968262	47%	\$ 340
3	\$ 461,861	\$ 9,237	1,169	12%	\$ 1,109	57740365318	88%	\$ 8,128
4	\$ 89,108	\$ 1,782	850	58%	\$ 1,027	58033272761	42%	\$ 755
5	\$ 56,294	\$ 1,126	567	52%	\$ 589	83063251728	48%	\$ 537
6	\$ 396,973	\$ 7,939	796	9%	\$ 718	16938873081	91%	\$ 7,222
7	\$ 116,569	\$ 2,331	513	18%	\$ 410	80143133175	82%	\$ 1,921
8	\$ 89,670	\$ 1,793	456	32%	\$ 578	83547753384	68%	\$ 1,216
9	\$ 172,680	\$ 3,454	577	18%	\$ 611	16004461195	82%	\$ 2,843
10	\$ 70,755	\$ 1,415	802	51%	\$ 720	99342295274	49%	\$ 695
11	\$ 298,047	\$ 5,961	4,867	50%	\$ 2,994	54552279934	50%	\$ 2,967
12	\$ 47,507	\$ 950	289	28%	\$ 268	12145098581	72%	\$ 682
13	\$ 93,577	\$ 1,872	513	22%	\$ 411	69902817386	78%	\$ 1,460
Ttl.	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 40,000	12,398	100%	\$ 10,375	0	0%	\$ 29,625

2010 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Overall Measure of Affordable Housing Need

Region	Region's Funding Amount				Rural Need Based Funding Amount	Rural Need Based %		Urban Need Based Funding Amount	Urban Need Based %
1	\$ 71,030				\$ 27,762	39.1%		\$ 43,267	60.9%
2	\$ 35,931				\$ 17,938	49.9%		\$ 17,993	50.1%
3	\$ 461,861				\$ 39,851	8.6%		\$ 422,010	91.4%
4	\$ 89,108				\$ 52,833	59.3%		\$ 36,275	40.7%
5	\$ 56,294				\$ 30,243	53.7%		\$ 26,051	46.3%
6	\$ 396,973				\$ 32,647	8.2%		\$ 364,326	91.8%
7	\$ 116,569				\$ 13,451	11.5%		\$ 103,118	88.5%
8	\$ 89,670				\$ 19,044	21.2%		\$ 70,626	78.8%
9	\$ 172,680				\$ 21,019	12.2%		\$ 151,661	87.8%
10	\$ 70,755				\$ 29,396	41.5%		\$ 41,359	58.5%
11	\$ 298,047				\$ 96,327	32.3%		\$ 201,720	67.7%
12	\$ 47,507				\$ 15,149	31.9%		\$ 32,358	68.1%
13	\$ 93,577				\$ 9,068	9.7%		\$ 84,509	90.3%
Ttl.	\$ 2,000,000				\$ 404,728	20.2%		\$ 1,595,272	79.8%

2010 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 7. Measuring Available Housing Resources in Rural and Urban Places

Region	Reg All Res Rur	Reg All Res Urb	Reg All Res	Rural Resource %	Urban Resource %
1	\$ 22,604,290	\$ 38,681,153	\$ 61,285,443	36.9%	63.1%
2	\$ 26,634,596	\$ 18,006,643	\$ 44,641,239	59.7%	40.3%
3	\$ 40,936,039	\$ 407,283,458	\$ 448,219,497	9.1%	90.9%
4	\$ 56,749,774	\$ 19,623,786	\$ 76,373,561	74.3%	25.7%
5	\$ 40,526,314	\$ 43,911,535	\$ 84,437,848	48.0%	52.0%
6	\$ 48,764,109	\$ 484,521,033	\$ 533,285,143	9.1%	90.9%
7	\$ 36,889,225	\$ 158,470,985	\$ 195,360,210	18.9%	81.1%
8	\$ 19,128,120	\$ 66,068,767	\$ 85,196,887	22.5%	77.5%
9	\$ 20,846,344	\$ 138,170,685	\$ 159,017,029	13.1%	86.9%
10	\$ 27,947,799	\$ 31,444,855	\$ 59,392,653	47.1%	52.9%
11	\$ 33,934,093	\$ 83,919,253	\$ 117,853,345	28.8%	71.2%
12	\$ 6,511,315	\$ 21,347,801	\$ 27,859,116	23.4%	76.6%
13	\$ 2,755,895	\$ 70,189,608	\$ 72,945,504	3.8%	96.2%
Ttl.	\$ 384,227,914	\$ 1,581,639,562	\$ 1,965,867,475	19.5%	80.5%

2010 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 8. Rural and Urban Resource Adjustment

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Rural Areas							Urban Areas						
		Area's Resource %	Area's Need %	Area's Resource Difference	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Resource %	Area's Need %	Area's Resource Difference	Base Resource Funding Adjustment	Region's Need Based Funding Amount	Maximum Resource Funding Adjustment	Resource Funding Adjustment
1	\$ 71,030	36.9%	39.1%	-2.2%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	63.1%	60.9%	2.2%	\$ (1,564)	\$ 43,267	\$ (26,356)	\$ (1,564)
2	\$ 35,931	59.7%	49.9%	9.7%	\$ (3,499)	\$ 17,938	\$ (2,053)	\$ (2,053)	40.3%	50.1%	-9.7%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
3	\$ 461,861	9.1%	8.6%	0.5%	\$ (2,331)	\$ 39,851	\$ (4,562)	\$ (2,331)	90.9%	91.4%	-0.5%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	\$ 89,108	74.3%	59.3%	15.0%	\$ (13,379)	\$ 52,833	\$ (6,048)	\$ (6,048)	25.7%	40.7%	-15.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
5	\$ 56,294	48.0%	53.7%	-5.7%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	52.0%	46.3%	5.7%	\$ (3,225)	\$ 26,051	\$ (12,055)	\$ (3,225)
6	\$ 396,973	9.1%	8.2%	0.9%	\$ (3,653)	\$ 32,647	\$ (3,737)	\$ (3,653)	90.9%	91.8%	-0.9%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
7	\$ 116,569	18.9%	11.5%	7.3%	\$ (8,560)	\$ 13,451	\$ (1,540)	\$ (1,540)	81.1%	88.5%	-7.3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	\$ 89,670	22.5%	21.2%	1.2%	\$ (1,088)	\$ 19,044	\$ (2,180)	\$ (1,088)	77.5%	78.8%	-1.2%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
9	\$ 172,680	13.1%	12.2%	0.9%	\$ (1,619)	\$ 21,019	\$ (2,406)	\$ (1,619)	86.9%	87.8%	-0.9%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
10	\$ 70,755	47.1%	41.5%	5.5%	\$ (3,898)	\$ 29,396	\$ (3,365)	\$ (3,365)	52.9%	58.5%	-5.5%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
11	\$ 298,047	28.8%	32.3%	-3.5%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	71.2%	67.7%	3.5%	\$ (10,509)	\$ 201,720	\$ (136,525)	\$ (10,509)
12	\$ 47,507	23.4%	31.9%	-8.5%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	76.6%	68.1%	8.5%	\$ (4,046)	\$ 32,358	\$ (22,039)	\$ (4,046)
13	\$ 93,577	3.8%	9.7%	-5.9%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	96.2%	90.3%	5.9%	\$ (5,533)	\$ 84,509	\$ (76,320)	\$ (5,533)
Ttl.	\$ 2,000,000	19.5%	20.2%	-0.7%	(38,028)	226,179	(25,892)	(21,698)	80.5%	79.8%	0.7%	(24,876)	\$ 387,905	\$ (273,296)	(24,876)

Resource Funding Adjustment Limit:

2010 Housing Trust Fund Regional Allocation Formula

Table 9. Rural and Urban Area Funding Amount

Region	Region's Funding Amount	Rural Areas					Urban Areas				
		Area's Need %	Area's Need Based Funding Amount	Area's Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Funding Amount	Allocation % Rural	Area's Need %	Area's Need Based Funding Amount	Area's Resource Funding Adjustment	Area's Funding Amount	Allocation % Urban
1	\$ 71,030	39.1%	27,762	\$ 1,564	\$ 29,326	41.3%	60.9%	\$ 43,267	\$ (1,564)	\$ 41,704	58.7%
2	\$ 35,931	49.9%	17,938	\$ (2,053)	\$ 15,885	44.2%	50.1%	\$ 17,993	\$ 2,053	\$ 20,046	55.8%
3	\$ 461,861	8.6%	39,851	\$ (2,331)	\$ 37,520	8.1%	91.4%	\$ 422,010	\$ 2,331	\$ 424,342	91.9%
4	\$ 89,108	59.3%	52,833	\$ (6,048)	\$ 46,785	52.5%	40.7%	\$ 36,275	\$ 6,048	\$ 42,323	47.5%
5	\$ 56,294	53.7%	30,243	\$ 3,225	\$ 33,468	59.5%	46.3%	\$ 26,051	\$ (3,225)	\$ 22,826	40.5%
6	\$ 396,973	8.2%	32,647	\$ (3,653)	\$ 28,994	7.3%	91.8%	\$ 364,326	\$ 3,653	\$ 367,979	92.7%
7	\$ 116,569	11.5%	13,451	\$ (1,540)	\$ 11,911	10.2%	88.5%	\$ 103,118	\$ 1,540	\$ 104,657	89.8%
8	\$ 89,670	21.2%	19,044	\$ (1,088)	\$ 17,955	20.0%	78.8%	\$ 70,626	\$ 1,088	\$ 71,714	80.0%
9	\$ 172,680	12.2%	21,019	\$ (1,619)	\$ 19,400	11.2%	87.8%	\$ 151,661	\$ 1,619	\$ 153,280	88.8%
10	\$ 70,755	41.5%	29,396	\$ (3,365)	\$ 26,031	36.8%	58.5%	\$ 41,359	\$ 3,365	\$ 44,724	63.2%
11	\$ 298,047	32.3%	96,327	\$ 10,509	\$ 106,836	35.8%	67.7%	\$ 201,720	\$ (10,509)	\$ 191,211	64.2%
12	\$ 47,507	31.9%	15,149	\$ 4,046	\$ 19,195	40.4%	68.1%	\$ 32,358	\$ (4,046)	\$ 28,312	59.6%
13	\$ 93,577	9.7%	9,068	\$ 5,533	\$ 14,600	15.6%	90.3%	\$ 84,509	\$ (5,533)	\$ 78,976	84.4%
Ttl.	\$ 2,000,000	20.2%	404,728	\$ 3,178	\$ 407,905	20.4%	79.8%	\$ 1,595,272	\$ (3,178)	\$ 1,592,095	79.6%